

SDMS DocID

466905

•	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

PENGAD CO., BAYONNE, N.J. 07002 . FORM 740

	Super Appeards Center
	Wells 68H 2-153
	1 119
IJ	R AOTHER: 466905
	I, RICHARD STEWART, have read
	the foregoing transcript of
	testimony and the same
	contains a true and accurate
	recording of my answers given
	to the questions therein set
	forth.
	Signed under the pains and
	penalties of perjury this
	day of,
	1985.
	RICHARD STEWART

# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

ANNE ANDERSON, et al.

vs

Civil Action No. 82-1672-S

CRYOVAC, Division of W. R. Grace & Co.; W. R. GRACE & CO.; JOHN J. RILEY COMPANY, Division of Beatrice Foods Co.; BEATRICE FOODS CO.

STEWART, taken on behalf of the Plaintiffs, pursuant to the applicable provisions of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, before Valerie T. Wong, Notary Public within and for the Commonwealth of Massachusetts, at the offices of Schlichtmann, Conway & Crowley, 171 Milk Street, Boston, Massachusetts, commencing at 10:15 o'clock A.M. on Thursday, July 25, 1985.

# Appearances:

Jan Richard Schlichtmann, Esq.
Kevin P. Conway, Esq.
Schlichtmann, Conway & Crowley
171 Milk Street
Boston, Massachusetts
for the Plaintiffs.

William J. Cheeseman, Esq.
Foley, Hoag & Eliot
One Post Office Square
Boston, Massachusetts
for the Defendant W. R. Grace & Co.

Susan G. Winkler, Esq.
Hale & Dorr
60 State Street
Boston, Massachusetts
for the Defendant Beatrice Foods Co.

James R. DeGiacomo, Esq.
Roche, Carens & DeGiacomo
One Post Office Square
Boston, Massachusetts
for the Deponent.

CO., BAYONNE, N.J. 07002 FORM

		INDEX		
Deposition of:			Direct	Cross
Richard	Stewart		2-4	
·		EXHIBITS		
Number:				Page:
2	Two-page memo	, May 8, 197	5.	2-68
3	Memo, Septemb	er 18, 1983.		2-76
4	NIOSH recomme	ndation.		2-76
5	Memo, August	4, 1967.		2-76
6	Memo, March 2	2, 1967.		2-76
7	Two pages of	handwritten	notes.	2-76
8	Memo, August	24, 1973.		2-76
9	Memo, Novembe	r 22, 1967.		2-76
10	Memo, May 20,	1974.		2-76
11	Two-page list	•		2-76

#### LAWYER'S NOTES

Page	Line	
	-	
<del>-</del>		
<u>-</u>		
	<u> </u>	
	<u> </u>	
	<b> </b>	

### LAWYER'S NOTES

Page	Line	
	ļ <u> </u>	
	ļ	
	]	
	<del> </del>	
	Ì	
	1	
	<u> </u>	
	i	
	<b></b>	
	1	
	<del> </del>	
	(	
	<b>\</b>	
	<u></u>	
	1	
	ļ	
	[	{
	<b>_</b>	
	İ	
	<del> </del>	
	]	
	Ĺ	
	<u> </u>	
	<b> </b>	
	<del> </del>	
	}	
	L	
	<b> </b>	
	İ	
	<del> </del>	
	l	
	<del> </del>	
	[	
	<b>_</b>	
	}	
	<del> </del>	
	}	
	<u> </u>	
	L	
	}	
	<u> </u>	
	]	
	<del> </del>	<del> </del>
	l	

MR. SCHLICHTMANN: You're familiar with all our stipulations?

MR. DeGIACOMO: Yes.

MR. SCHLICHTMANN: You know you have the opportunity to read the transcript?

MR. DeGIACOMO: Right.

MR. CHEESEMAN: So that it is on the record, it is understood the witness is still sworn from his previous deposition, and that we are proceeding under the stipulations that have been agreed to in the first Paul Shalline deposition.

MR. SCHLICHTMANN: I am going to refrain from getting into any areas we went into previously, unless the witness has additions or corrections or wants to amplify any other statements.

MR. CHEESEMAN: Thank you.

MR. SCHLICHTMANN: There will be specific areas I will go into, but I don't think there is any problem. I will try to complete the witness's testimony within the next couple of hours.

MR. CHEESEMAN: Fine.

MR. SCHLICHTMANN: All ready?

#### RICHARD STEWART,

a witness called by the Plaintiffs, first having been duly sworn, on oath deposes and says as follows:

## Direct Examination (Resumed)

- Q (By Mr. Schlichtmann) Mr. Stewart, you had an opportunity to read your deposition?
- A Yes.
- In reviewing your deposition, I know you have submitted some corrections to certain answers that you gave?
- A Yes.
  - Other than those corrections you have given, is
    there anything in particular you wish to amplify
    or add to in the areas that I questioned you on
    previously? In other words, is there anything in
    particular on any areas I inquired about where
    you gave an answer but now you wish to clarify or
    amplify further what you previously testified to,
    in addition to what you have stated in the
    corrections?

MR. CHEESEMAN: I will object to that because I think it is your function to ask the

questions and for the witness to answer. I

appreciate that occasionally counsel like to

indicate that there is some amplification, but in

this instance we have given you the deposition

corrections and the volume is now signed with

those corrections. I think you should proceed

with specific questions.

O All right. You were asked specific questions about

All right. You were asked specific questions about waste disposal practices, about your knowledge of waste disposal practices at the plant; do you remember being asked about that?

A Yes.

- In reviewing your deposition concerning those questions about waste disposal practices, is there any answer or any information which you gave which you would like to change other than what you have submitted in the corrections?
- A No.
- Q Mr. Stewart, how long have you been associated with W. R. Grace?
- A I have been associated with Cryovac Division of W. R. Grace & Company almost 20 years.
- Q And at any time during that association did you have any responsibility for environmental matters

		2-
2		or matters concerning the environment vis-a-vis
3		the plants of the Cryovac Division?
4	A	Yes.
5	Q	For how long a period have you been responsible
6		for those kinds of matters?
7	A	Since each of the environmental acts of the
8		government has been en force.
9		MR. CHEESEMAN: That's en force.
10	Q	In the 1960s, did any of your responsibilities
11		concern environmental matters relating to the
12		plants?
13		MR. CHEESEMAN: You're asking about
14		Woburn now?
15		MR. SCHLICHTMANN: Any of the plants of
16		the Cryovac Division.
17	A	If in terms of environmental matters you are
18		asking about such things as sanitation, sanitary
19		sewer connections to a plant, certainly.
20	Q	In the 1960s?
21	A	Yes.
22	Ω	Do you remember for what period of time in the
23		1960s, whether it was the beginning period of the
24		1960s?
5	A	Since I started with the company in 1965, '66.

2	Q	From about 1966 or 1965 when you began working for
3		Cryovac Division, you had responsibilities for
4		environmental matters relating to the plants of
5		the Cryovac Division?
6		MR. CHEESEMAN: In the sense he
7		indicated.
8	Q	In the sense you have indicated?
9	-	MR. CHEESEMAN: That question has been
10		asked and answered.
11		
12		MR. SCHLICHTMANN: Right. I am making
		sure I understand.
13		MR. CHEESEMAN: You're asking him about
14		environmental matters, including such matters as
15		sanitary sewer connections?
16		MR. SCHLICHTMANN: Yes, those things.
17	A	Certainly.
18	Ω	And did your responsibilities also concern the
19		types of chemicals that the plants were using and
20		the properties of those chemicals?
21		MR. CHEESEMAN: You're asking him if
22		his responsibilities for Cryovac included the use
23		of chemicals or the identification or type of
24		chemicals?
1		

MR. SCHLICHTMANN: And their properties.

2		MR. CHEESEMAN: Irrespective whether it
3		has to do with disposal?
4		MR. SCHLICHTMANN: Irrespective of
5		disposal.
6	Q	In all cases, I had responsibility for safety and
7		health matters, environmental matters, and
8		obviously that would include chemicals used by the
9		plants.
10	Q	That was true since your association with the
11		Cryovac Division in 1965?
12		MR. CHEESEMAN: I think he said 1965 or
13		1966.
14		MR. SCHLICHTMANN: Right.
15	A	Yes. Understanding the laws such as OSHA, TOSCA
16	n.	and others came later, at which time there would
17		obviously be more involvement with answering
18		inquiries and doing that sort of thing.
19	Q	All right.
20	A	Basically, Cryovac's philosophy is to have a
21		clean operation, a safe and healthy operation,
22		and from that standpoint we were involved.
23	Q	You say that the Cryovac philosophy is to have a
24		clean operation and a safe and healthy operation.
25		Would you say that that characterized the

j

philosophy of the Cryovac Division from your association with the Cryovac Division until the present?

A Yes.

Now, how would you describe what you mean by a clean, safe and healthy operation?

MR. CHEESEMAN: You're asking what he understands the company's philosophy to have been?

MR. SCHLICHTMANN: That is a better way of saying it.

- Our company is involved in the business of producing food wrapping material and food packaging equipment. Therefore, we have been under the jurisdiction or the guidance of the USDA and FDA, and those activities and our attitude and philosophy have been to operate in clean plants, plants where you can take customers, and produce materials that were satisfactory for the use for which they were intended.
- When you say a clean operation and a safe and healthy one, would that also pertain to the waste disposal practices at the plant?
- A Waste disposal is a separate issue, if you will.

  A clean plant and a clean plant environment is

•		2
2		one thing; safety and health is another issue.
3		Waste disposal is a third issue.
4	Q	Would you agree though, that one of the concerns
5		in waste disposal practices is that it be done in
6		a safe and healthy manner?
7		MR. CHEESEMAN: Are you asking about
8		the company's philosophy?
9		MR. SCHLICHTMANN: Exactly; as he
10		understands it.
11	A	Yes.
12	Q	You would agree with that?
13	A	Yes.
14	Q	You would agree that was the company's
15	,	philosophy?
16	A	Yes.
17	Q	Since your association with the company?
18	A	Yes.
19	Q	Now, to your knowledge, did the Cryovac Division
20		have any rules or regulations or authorized
21		procedures for which the various plants of the
22		Cryovac Division were to follow concerning waste
23		disposal?
24		MR. CHEESEMAN: Are you talking prior

to the effectiveness of the manifesting system?

Q

MR. SCHLICHTMANN: From the beginning of his association.

MR. CHEESEMAN: The reason I qualify that, the answer is obviously yes since the 1980 requirement.

MR. SCHLICHTMANN: Let me qualify that.

Mr. Stewart, right now I am interested in the initial period of your association with the Cryovac Division of W. R. Grace, so my question is directed to that period of time when you first became associated with them. At that time, to your knowledge, did the Cryovac Division have any rules, regulations or procedures which the various plants of the Cryovac Division were to follow concerning waste disposal of chemicals used at the plant or produced at the plant?

MR. CHEESEMAN: You're asking about any plant?

MR. SCHLICHTMANN: Any plant of the Cryovac Division.

- A We do not produce chemicals. The company philosophy was as I described.
- Q How do you mean "as I described?"
- A Repeat your question.

1		2-3
2	Q	During the beginning of your association were you
3		aware To your knowledge, did the Cryovac
4		Division of W. R. Grace have certain rules or
5		regulations or procedures which the various
6		plants of the Cryovac Division were suppose to
7		follow concerning waste disposal of chemical waste
8		produced at the plants?
9		MR. CHEESEMAN: I understand the
10		question to be asking whether there were written
11		manuals or guidelines or written company policy
12		relating to those subjects.
	11	

MR. SCHLICHTMANN: That is fair enough.

- A Yes.
- Q There were?
- 16 A Yes.

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Q And to your knowledge, Mr. Stewart, what were those?

MR. CHEESEMAN: I guess I will object to
the question. Are you asking him to summarize --

MR. SCHLICHTMANN: Do I --

MR. CHEESEMAN: -- what policies there were as opposed to what the content of the policies was?

MR. SCHLICHTMANN: Let's go off the record.

#### 2 (Discussion off the record) 3 Mr. Stewart, you said there were such rules, 0 4 regulations, policies or procedures; is that 5 right? 6 Correct. A 7 Were these written or contained in written form? 8 A Yes. 9 Were there also policies or procedures or rules or 10 regulations which were not written but transmitted 11 orally? 12 MR. CHEESEMAN: You mean apart from 13 orally reiterating the existing written policies? 14 MR. SCHLICHTMANN: Or the fact there 15 were oral regulations or policies which were not 16 written down in any form. 17 Regulations, rules, policies would be in written A 18 form. Philosophy would very well be oral. 19 Q How were these documents contained? How would you 20 describe these documents? 21 A They are policies, procedures, programs, things of that nature. 22 23 Q Were they in existence during the beginning of your association in 1965 or 1966, or did they come 24 25 in existence at a later time?

1		2-1
2	A	I cannot tell you at this time the date of each one
3		of the policies of Cryovac Division. But policies
4		were in existence when I arrived at Cryovac
5		Division.
6	Q	Let me see if I understand you.
7		To your knowledge, were the policy
8		books? What form did they take? In other words,
9		if I were to ask to receive copies of them, how
10		would I have to describe them?

- You would ask for division policies. A
- Were there specific policies or procedures concerning waste disposal that was to take place at plants?

MR. CHEESEMAN: When you say "to take place at plants," you mean applicable to plants? MR. SCHLICHTMANN: That is a better way

to say it.

- At any time? A
- 20 Yes. Q

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

21

22

23

24

25

- You didn't specify a time, but yes.
- Were they applicable when you first began your Q association in 1965 or 1966?
- I am sure there were policies written in 1965 and 1966.

		۷۰
2	Q	And there are policies now?
3	A	Yes.
4	Q	There are certainly more detailed policies because
5		of the federal regulations which came in place in
6		1980?
7	A	Yes.
8	Q	And those policies certainly have to do with OSHA
9		which came in place in 1970?
10	A	Yes.
11	Q	And TOSCA came in late, the late '60s?
12	A	'70s.
13	Q	And you think that the policies or procedures in
14		written form in the 1960s pertain to procedures
15		that the plants of the Cryovac Division should
16		follow for disposal of waste produced at the
17		plants?
18	A	Yes.
19	Q	To your knowledge, are those in existence now,
20		the ones that existed when you began your
21		association with W. R. Grace?
22	A	I don't have any knowledge. I don't know.
23		MR. SCHLICHTMANN: We will go off the
24		record here.
25		(Discussion off the record)

Q

MR. CHEESEMAN: At Mr. Schlichtmann's request, we will review company files, division files, to see if we can locate any of the written materials that the witness has just described, and if we find them we will produce them.

Thank you.

MR. SCHLICHTMANN:

Mr. Stewart, how would you characterize Cryovac
Division's policy or how would you describe
Cryovac Division's policy concerning the practices
or procedures that Cryovac Division plants should
follow in the disposal of chemical waste which may
be produced at the various plants?

MR. DeGIACOMO: Objection.

MR. SCHLICHTMANN: You don't like that question?

MR. CHEESEMAN: I will object to the question as a matter of form. I want to remind you there are significant differences among various of the Cryovac plants in the nature of their operations and the products that they manufacture.

MR. SCHLICHTMANN: And the policies?

MR. CHEESEMAN: I assume your question

does not mean to imply that there are, that any of

Is that the case?

the policies necessarily apply to all plants uniformly.

MR. SCHLICHTMANN:

MR. CHEESEMAN: You can ask the witness.

Now, Mr. Stewart, to your knowledge, do the policies and procedures that were in effect when you began your association with W. R. Grace Company in its Cryovac Division in 1965 or 1966, do these policies or procedures vary from plant to plant, concerning the type of plant it is, regarding the proper disposal of chemical waste produced at the various plants?

MR. CHEESEMAN: Keep that question in mind and just wait a minute.

I assume we have stipulated to reserve to the time of trial best evidence objections, should it turn out these policies are available in written form?

MR. SCHLICHTMANN: Absolutely.

MR. CHEESEMAN: So I don't have to keep objecting to each question.

MR. SCHLICHTMANN: Fine.

- A I don't have any knowledge.
- Q That the policies varied from plant to plant?

3	Q	You said there were certain policies and procedures
4		written down for that period, for 1965 and 1966 as
5		well. What I am trying to get at, Mr. Stewart, to
6		your knowledge, did the policies or the procedures
7		about waste disposal for various plants vary
8		depending on the various plants and their
9	)) 	operations, if you have any knowledge?
10	A	No.
11	Q	So you think the policies and procedures were
12		uniformly applied to the various plants of the
13		Cryovac Division?
14	A	Yes.
15	Q	Well, that being the case, would you be able to
16		describe for me the basic requirements that apply
17		to the various plants at the Cryovac Division
18		regarding proper disposal of chemical waste which
19		may be produced at the plants?
20		MR. CHEESEMAN: Are you now asking
21		about the 1965-1966 period?
22		MR. SCHLICHTMANN: Exactly.
23		MR. CHEESEMAN: To the extent you can
24		remember specific procedures or policies, by all
25		means, answer.

That is correct.

obligation

A

.	1	
	A	I have no recollection of specific provisions of
		the policy.
	Q	For that period?
	A	For that period.
	Q	Do you have any recollection of certain policies
		or procedures at a later period?
	A	Yes.
	Q	Which period do you have a recollection of?
	A	At the institution of OSHA, for example, other
		federal acts, TOSCA. In each instance there are
		policies or procedures to cover those particular
		subjects.
	Q	You have a recollection of those procedures in an
		attempt to help the plant fulfill their obligation
		under the OSHA requirements or the TOSCA require-
		ments?
	A	Correct.
	Q	But other than those regulations pertaining to
		those two areas, you don't have a recollection of
		what the policies or procedures were of the
		W. R. Grace Company or the Cryovac Division prior
		to that period that those acts came into being?

I do not have a recollection.

Was one of your responsibilities to submit or

provide information to various plants of the Cryovac Division concerning the harmful qualities of some of the chemicals the plants may be using?

- A That is correct.
- And in documents that have been produced and which
  I have reviewed with you, I believe there were
  certain stop orders. You are familiar with these
  stop orders that were sent from time to time to
  the plants?
- A I am.
- Now, to your knowledge, Mr. Stewart, how did you obtain the information that went into these documents -- Strike that.

How did you obtain the information or from what sources did you obtain the information which you provided to the various plants of the Cryovac Division at various times concerning the toxic properties of various chemicals the plants may be using?

MR. CHEESEMAN: Or alleged --

- Q Or alleged toxic properties?
- A We read the Federal Register. We subscribe to such services as the BNA. We attended seminars.

  We used the Registry of Toxic Substances produced

1 2 by the United States Department of Health and 3 other sources. 4 Can you list those services for me as best you can? 5 I know you have given me several, but can you give 6 me any others? 7 How long do we have? 8 It is important. If you can do the best you can 9 to list those, I would appreciate it. 10 We have a technical library with many volumes A 11 concerning safety, toxicology, health. 12 Health, safety and toxicology? Q 13 A Toxic substances. 14 We use all the information that is 15 available to us from that library from all of the 16 resources that we were aware of. 17 I know it may be somewhat difficult, but what Q 18 resources other than the ones you have already 19 described? 20 I covered everything, I think. A 21 You talked about a technical library and BNA Q 22 Service, the Federal Register, seminars, the 23 Registry of Toxic Substances put out by the 24 Department of Health?

25 A Uh-huh.

ľ		& - ·
2	Q	Does any other resource come to mind which you
3		made use of in distributing information to the
4		various plants of the Cryovac Division about
5		toxic property or alleged toxic properties of the
6		various chemicals the plant may be using?
7	A	No.
8	Q	Now, this technical library, where is this located
9	A	At Duncan.
10	Q	In Duncan, South Carolina?
11	A	Yes.
12	Q	Was the technical library in existence when you
13		became associated with Cryovac in the mid '60s?
14	A	Yes.
15	Q	To your knowledge, how long has that technical
16		library been in existence?
17	A	Since the Duncan headquarters were built in 1962.
18	Q	Was there a Cryovac prior to 1962?
19	A	Yes.
20	Q	Was it owned by W. R. Grace prior to 1962?
21	A	Yes.
22	Q	Cryovac Division was founded by W. R. Grace; they
23		didn't purchase it from anyone?
24	A	That is correct.

Do you know how long Cryovac has been in existence

.		2-
2		as a division?
3	A	The transition from W. R. Grace to a specific
4		Cryovac Division covered a period of years. To
5		answer your question specifically, I can't answer
6		exactly the date on which the Cryovac Division was
7		formed.
8	Ω	Was it the early '50s?
9	A	'50s.
10	Ω	Now, to your knowledge, did the technical library,
1		was that always in existence throughout the
12		existence of the Cryovac Division?
13	A	I have no knowledge.
14	Ω	You do know it was in existence when The
15		technical library at its present location was
16	į	formed when they built the headquarters in Duncan,
17		you're aware of that?
18	A	Yes.
19	Q	Do you have any idea whether the technical
20		library existed prior to the headquarters being
21		built in 1962?
22	A	I have no knowledge of that.
23	Q	The books produced by the BNA, the Federal
1	[	Doubleton Abe Dealaton of Barrie Substances seems

those all resources of the technical library?

1		2-2
2		Were they available at the technical library?
3	A	Yes.
4	Q	Was there a librarian in charge of the library?
5	A	Yes.
6	Q	Did the librarian have a staff, do you know?
7	A	Yes.
8	Q	Do you know approximately how many people?
9	A	It varied from two to four.
10	Q	Did any of those people have any special expertise,
11		do you know?
12	A	They were all expert librarians.
13	Q	Do you know if they had any expertise in the
14		technical areas or scientific areas, environmental
15		and health areas?
16	A	Not to my knowledge.
17	Q	Do you know who the present librarian is?
18	A	Yes.
19	Q	Is it a woman or man?
20	A	Woman.
21	Q	What is her name?
22	A	Ms. Meg Ezell.
23	Q	Do you know how long Miss Ezell has been associated
24		with the technical library?
25	A	I don't know when she was hired.

il il		-
2	Q	Do you have any idea whether she was there from
3		the beginning?
4	A	She was not.
5	Q	Do you know if she was hired in the '70s or '80s?
6	A	I don't know.
7	Q	Do you know who she replaced?
8	A	I do not.
9	Q	Do you know who was the technical librarian in the
10		1960s?
11	A	I do not.
12	Ď	Did it have one?
13	A	Yes.
14	Q	This technical library was a resource that was
15		available to your office?
16	A	Yes.
17	Q	Were there any other offices to which it was
18		available or was this primarily for use by your
19		office?
20	A	It was available to the whole division. The
21		technical library included all kinds of technical
22		information on all aspects of our business.
23	Q	Including various chemicals the plant used, the
24		various plants used of the Cryovac Division?
25	A	Yes.

'∥		2-
2	Q	And it had periodicals and journals as well as
3		text books?
4	A	Yes.
5	Q	Was it your understanding that the technical
6		library was to stay current with the developing
7		area of science concerning health, safety,
8		toxicology and various toxic substances and their
9		properties?
10	A	The technical library and the director did not
11		have the prerogative to go out and buy books.
12		They were to serve headquarters of the Duncan
13		Division, Cryovac Division, and purchase whatever
14		technical information was required by people in
15		various classes of the industry.
16	Q	Was the librarian responsible for determining
17		what text or journals or periodicals the library
18		would continue to keep current with?
19	A	No.
20	Q	Whose responsibility would that have been?
21	A	Ultimately, the vice president of Research,
22		Development Administration.
23	Ω	The vice president of Research, Development
24	A	And Engineering; I'm sorry.

Who is that person now?

Q

1 2-28 2 A Mr. A. Engleman. 3 Could you spell that? 4 E-N-G-L-E-M-A-N. 5 Do you know how long Mr. Engleman has been Q 6 associated with Cryovac? 7 A I do not. 8 Do you know how long he has held the vice president 9 position? 10 Not specifically. I would say it was less than ten A 11 years. 12 Q Do you know who occupied that position before him? 13 A Mr. W. G. Baird, B-A-I-R-D. 14 Q How long did Mr. Baird hold that position? 15 Α Forever. 16 What happened to Mr. Baird? Did he move to another 17 position at Cryovac? 18 A He retired. 19 He is now retired? 20 He is. 21 Is he still alive? 22 A Yes. 23 Q Do you know if he is in the Duncan area? 24 A Yes.

Now, were you subordinate to Mr. Baird?

	ĺ	24
2	A	Yes.
3	Q	Were you subordinate when he retired?
4	A	Yes.
5	Q	So you would answer to Mr. Baird?
6	A	Yes.
7	Q	But Mr. Baird had the ultimate responsibility at
8		that time to determine what resources would be
9		collected concerning health, safety, toxicology
10		or toxic substances for use by the Cryovac
11		Division?
12	A	We are still talking about the technical library?
13	Q	Yes.
14	A	Yes.
15	Q	Now, you referred to seminars that were attended.
16		Do you know if these were seminars sponsored by
17		the government and various professional
18		organizations as well as W. R. Grace or the
19		Cryovac Division?
20	A	Yes.
21	Q	Would that include all three: seminars by the
22		government, professional organizations or
23		associations, and W. R. Grace or the Cryovac
24		Division?
25	A	Yes.

Yes.

		2-3
2	Q	You attended these seminars?
3	A	Some of them.
4	Q	Did other members of your staff attend them?
5	A	Some of them.
6	Q	How many people do you have reporting to you?
7		MR. CHEESEMAN: Now?
8		MR. SCHLICHTMANN: Yes.
9	A	In the Duncan office?
10	Q	Yes.
11	A	In the area of environmental, safety and health?
12	Q	That area.
13	A	That area?
14	Q	Yes.
15	A	Five engineers, one technician.
16	Q	The engineers, what expertise do they have, what
17		type of engineers?
18	A	Architectural, electrical, civil, chemical; that
19		is it.
20	Q	When you said one technician, is there any special
21		expertise of that individual?
22	A	He has been trained in an Olds certificate as a
23		waste water treatment operator.
24	Ω	How would you describe the staff concerning
25		environmental and health matters during the

- 1		beginning or your association with cryovac
3		Division?
4		MR. CHEESEMAN: You're talking about
5		the technical training?
6	Q	How many engineers or technicians did you have
7		and what were there areas of expertise?
8		MR. CHEESEMAN: By "you," you mean
9		Cryovac?
10		MR. SCHLICHTMANN: Yes. I'm talking
11		about his office.
12	A	At the time I joined Cryovac, there was one
13		engineer whose duties included those kinds of
14		matters.
15	Ω	So one engineer in 1965 would have had the
16		responsibility regarding architecture, electrical
17		matters, civil engineering matters and chemical
18		engineering matters?
19	A	You have changed the subject.
20	Ω	I apologize.
21	A	You have changed it from environmental, safety and
22		health to these specific functions.
23	Ω	My understanding was there are five engineers and
24		one technician, and these are the people
25		responsible for environmental and health matters

	İ	<b>4.</b>
2		or matters relating to environmental; is that an
3		improper understanding?
4		MR. CHEESEMAN: At the present time?
5		MR. SCHLICHTMANN: At the present time,
6		yes.
7	Q	Is that a correct understanding?
8	λ	Environmental, safety and health and other
9		functions.
10	Ω	But they would also have some responsibility,
11		they would be the employees with responsibilities
12		concerning environmental, health and other
13		matters?
14	A	Correct.
15	Q	I guess my point was in '65 you said there was one
16		engineer who was responsible for environmental
17		and health matters; is that correct?
18	А	Yes.
19	Q	Did his responsibilities also include other
20		matters, such as architectural, electrical, civil
21		engineering and chemical engineering?
22	A	No.
23	Q	Who had those responsibilities?
24		MR. CHEESEMAN: I don't understand the
25		quastian

	j	2~3.
2		MR. SCHLICHTMANN: You don't? Either do
3		I.
4	Q	Were there other engineers responsible for those
5		areas?
6	A	Yes.
7	Ω	So the one engineer in 1965 was specifically
8		responsible for environmental and health matters
9		or did he have other responsibilities?
10	A	Other responsibilities.
11	Q	What did those include?
12	A	USDA, FDA and other responsibilities.
13	Ω	Other than that engineer, was there any other
14		employee who was responsible for environmental and
15		health matters who is related to your office or
16		the office you were associated with in 1965 or
17		1966?
18	A	No.
19	Q	But you had some of those responsibilities
20		concerning environmental and health matters?
21	A	As federal regulations like OSHA, TOSCA and so
22		forth evolved, I became involved and had
23		responsibilities in those areas.
24	Q	In other words, in the mid '60s, according to your

knowledge, there was one person, an engineer, and

his responsibilities concerned environmental matters of the various plants?

- A Correct.
- Q And health?
- A Yes.
- Now, could you describe what was meant by environmental and health matters in -- Strike that.

Would you describe what that engineer's responsibilities were for environmental and health matters relating to the plants of the Cryovac Division as you understood it in the mid '60s?

- A I think I can best describe it as being responsible for carrying out the philosophy of the Cryovac Division as related to its community relationship, being a good corporate citizen, and its specific relationship with such regulations as governed the industry which we were involved.
- Q Would you agree that one of the responsibilities, one of the areas under environmental-health necessarily included what would be proper waste disposal practices at the plants?

MR. CHEESEMAN: I object to the form of that question.

Q Do you understand the question?

1 2 MR. CHEESEMAN: I particularly object to 3 the word "necessarily." 4 MR. SCHLICHTMANN: Strike that question. 5 Was waste disposal practices, was that inclusive 0 6 in the term "environmental-health matters?" 7 MR. CHEESEMAN: You're asking about the 8 witness's understanding of the individual job 9 functions in the mid '60s? 10 MR. SCHLICHTMANN: Exactly. 11 Your question is asking about environmental-health Α 12 matters? 13 Q Right. 14 A I don't understand the terminology. 15 0 I thought there was -- I thought we --16 MR. CHEESEMAN: He has gotten away from 17 that phrase and is now asking you if, in your 18 understanding, this particular individual's job function in the mid '60s included matters of waste 19 20 disposal. Exactly. 21 MR. SCHLICHTMANN: I don't know. 22 Α 23 Q Well, did it at a later time, did environmental-24 health matters come to include at some point, to

your knowledge, proper waste disposal practices at

1		2-36
2		the plants?
3		MR. CHEESEMAN: There is that phrase
4		again.
5	A	Environmental, health and safety matters.
6	Ω	Environmental, health and safety matters?
7	A	They were always of concern to Cryovac Division.
8	Q	Well
9	A	Did I answer your question?
10	Q	I think you did.
11		Is it fair to say in the mid '60s
12		Strike that.
13		Was there, to your knowledge, a
14		technical person who had the responsibility of
15		waste disposal practices of the various plants?
16	A	I don't have any knowledge.
17	Q	You have no knowledge?
18	A	No.
19	Q	At sometime, to your knowledge, did someone, did
20		a technical person have responsibilities concerning
21		the waste disposal practices which took place at
22		the various plants of the Cryovac Division at
23		some point?
24	A	Yes. Certainly as regulations were evolved, the
25		technical aspects of those regulations were given

- 11		
2		as a responsibility to an individual or individuals
3		at Duncan.
4	Q	Do you have any period of time in mind when it
5		took place? Was it after enactment of OSHA or
6	18	after enactment of TOSCA or RCRA?
7		MR. CHEESEMAN: I object to the
8		question.
9		MR. SCHLICHTMANN: Okay.
10		MR. CHEESEMAN: I should say questions.
1		MR. SCHLICHTMANN: I'm just asking this
12		first rather than split it up into a whole bunch of
13	! !	little ones.
14		MR. CHEESEMAN: Go ahead and answer.
15	A	Obviously, in the '70s with the enactment of OSHA,
16		in '72 with the Clean Air Act, in 1976 with the
17		Clean Water Act, in 1976 with RCRA, TOSCA and other
18		regulations. As those regulations were developing,
19		an individual was given responsibility.
20	Ω	All right.
21	A	And new programs developed.
22	Q	Now, you used the phrase "being a good corporate
23		citizen." What did you mean by that? How would
24		you describe the meaning of being a good corporate

citizen?

25

}	}	2 3
2	A	The philosophy of Cryovac has been in each location
3		in which we have a plant to be a good member of the
4		community, a good corporate citizen, to become
5		involved in civic affairs, to have the image of
6		being a clean and well run industry.
7	Q	Now, that was one of the principles of the Cryovac
8		Division since the beginning of your association
9		with them?
10	A	Philosophy.
11	Q	It was part of their philosophy?
12	A	Yes.
13	Q	And it was transmitted to the various plants of the
14		Cryovac Division?
15	A	Yes.
16	Q	And it was expected each of the plants of the
17		Cryovac Division would live up to that philosophy?
18	A	Yes.
19	Q	And one of the elements of being a good corporate
20		citizen was to make sure that a particular plant
21		did not expose the community to any environmental,
22		health or safety concerns?
23	A	Yes.
24	Q	And that was one of the obligations on the part of

various plants of the Cryovac Division, to make

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	

20

21

22

23

24

25

sure their operations did not pose a threat to the environment, health or safety of the surrounding community?

- A Yes.
- Q And therefore, if there was a plant in the
  Cryovac Division whose operations did pose a
  threat to the surrounding community, environmental,
  health or safety matters would not be performed
  according to the requirements of the Cryovac
  Division?

MR. CHEESEMAN: I object to the form of that question.

MR. SCHLICHTMANN: I thought it was a good one.

- A Yes.
- Any plant whose operations posed a threat to the surrounding community in environmental, health or safety aspects, that plant would have been in violation of Cryovac's policy?
- A I don't know if those words were written in any specific policy.
- Q You have no knowledge?
  - A My memory does not tell me that.
- Q To your knowledge though, it was made known to the

various plants of the Cryovac Division they were to conduct their operations in such a manner that they did not pose a threat to surrounding communities' health, safety or environment?

- A Certainly.
- Q If the plants did engage in operations which did pose such a threat to surrounding communities' health, safety and environment, then that plant would not be in accordance with the rules and regulations of the Cryovac Division?

MR. CHEESEMAN: The problem with that last question is that it failed to take into account acts of God, unavoidable accidents and the like.

MR. SCHLICHTMANN: All right.

MR. CHEESEMAN: Stating the philosophy is one thing, and stating any company for one reason or another -- any plant for one reason or another poses a threat is in violation of that philosophy does not necessarily follow.

MR. SCHLICHTMANN: Let me try --

MR. CHEESEMAN: I guess I should state that as an objection. If you insist on an answer, the witness will respond.

MR. SCHLICHTMANN: I will phrase it the right way. The objection is well taken.

MR. CHEESEMAN: I think you have covered it well.

MR. SCHLICHTMANN: Let me make it more

Would you agree then, Mr. Stewart, that to your knowledge it was made known to the plants, various plants of the Cryovac Division, that they were not to undertake any acts or engage in any activity which would pose a threat to the surrounding environment, community's environment, safety or

Yes.

A

health?

specific.

Q Would you agree that pouring waste solvents on the ground in such a manner that it could contaminate the groundwater of the community would have been a violation of Cryovac Division's policy?

MR. CHEESEMAN: I will object to that question as a matter of form, and it is so general and unqualified that I don't think it adequately takes into account the complexity of such technical issues as groundwater flow, hydrogeology and the like, in which this witness is

Q

25

Let me be more specific.

1

2 not qualified as an expert. 3 MR. DeGIACOMO: Can I interject for a 4 moment? 5 I am not sure I understood the question. Are you saying that if he or an employee had 6 7 specific knowledge what they were doing would result in contaminating the water, is that what 8 you're saying? 9 MR. SCHLICHTMANN: Let me try it again. 10 It was a lousy question. 11 Q To your knowledge, was it against Cryovac 12 Division's policy for a plant, for one of its 13 plants, to dispose of waste chemicals produced at 14 the plant on the ground? 15 MR. CHEESEMAN: When? 16 MR. SCHLICHTMANN: At any time. 17 MR. CHEESEMAN: At any time? 18 MR. SCHLICHTMANN: Yes. 19 MR. CHEESEMAN: Including the period of 20 time the manifesting system and --21 MR. SCHLICHTMANN: Any time during his 22 association with W. R. Grace. 23 I cannot answer that unqualified question. 24

A Are you asking --

Q To your knowledge, when you began your association with the Cryovac Division of W. R. Grace in the mid '60s, was your understanding that the plants, the various plants of the Cryovac Division, were not to dispose of chemicals, of chemical wastes produced at the plant by disposing of them on the ground?

MR. CHEESEMAN: You're asking him as to any waste?

MR. SCHLICHTMANN: Chemical waste.

MR. CHEESEMAN: Wait for the question.

MR. CHEESEMAN: That does not help me.

Do you mean coolants and --

A Soap is a chemical.

MR. CHEESEMAN: You're asking a general question. If you can specify what the chemical is, the witness can answer if he remembers a policy that applied to that particular chemical or kind of chemical.

Q Was there any policy, Mr. Stewart, to your knowledge, when you began your association with the Cryovac Division of W. R. Grace in the mid 1960s which prohibited the disposing of chemical wastes

. 1		2-4
2		produced at the various plants by disposing of
3		them on the ground?
4	A	When I began my tenure with W. R. Grace, I had no
5	; 	recollection of any policy which stated you will
6		not pour chemicals on the ground, if chemicals
7		include soapy water and whatever.
8	Q	To your knowledge, for how long a period have the
9		various plants of Cryovac Division used solvents in
10		the manufacturing process?
11		MR. CHEESEMAN: Any plant?
12		MR. SCHLICHTMANN: Yes.
13	Q	For how long has Cryovac in any of its plants used
14		solvents in the manufacturing process?
15		MR. CHEESEMAN: If any of them did.
16		MR. SCHLICHTMANN: I assume they did.
17		The one in Woburn did.
18		MR. CHEESEMAN: There is certainly no
19		evidence the one in Woburn used solvents I
20		don't know how you phrased the question.
21		MR. SCHLICHTMANN: In the manufacturing
22		process.
23		MR. CHEESEMAN: All right. I won't
24		object to you asking that general question about
25		all the plants. I do want to say if you're going

I have too much to

2 3

1

to get into specific processes of other plants, I will not do that.

4 5

do with Woburn. I don't want to do that.

MR. SCHLICHTMANN:

6

MR. CHEESEMAN: Do you recall the question?

7 8

Your question asked using the specific -- using the generic term "solvents," and I would use the same generic term to say every plant used solvents.

10

11

9

Let me qualify that by saying industrial solvents. Q Do you know what I mean by industrial solvents?

Well, industrial solvents would be such things as

hydrocarbons or solvents containing hydrocarbons.

used in the manufacturing process to degrease

metal parts or to clean machine parts or

machinery, which would include chlorinated

12

A No.

13 14

You don't think that is a term of art?

15

A No.

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

A

24

23

Okay?

25

other chemicals? Q

I am restricting solvents to industrial solvents, the phrase "industrial solvents," which would be

Does that include soap, Okite and a number of

1		2-4
2		chlorinated hydrocarbons.
3	A	Yes.
4	Q	You have an understanding of that?
5	A	Yes.
6	Q	The Cryovac plants, the various plants of the
7		Cryovac Division, they have always used various
8		industrial solvents of one kind or another through-
9		out the existence of the Cryovac Division; is that
10		right?
11		MR. CHEESEMAN: I guess I will object
12		to the form of the question, the use of the term
13		"always."
14	Q	To your knowledge, have the various plants of the
15		Cryovac Division used industrial solvents through-
16		out your association with the Cryovac Division?
17		MR. CHEESEMAN: In the limited sense
18		you have defined?
19		MR. SCHLICHTMANN: Yes.
20		MR. CHEESEMAN: Chemicals containing
21		chlorinated hydrocarbons and hydrocarbons?
22		MR. SCHLICHTMANN: Right.
23		MR. CHEESEMAN: Which are solvents?
24		MR. SCHLICHTMANN: Yes.
25	A	You have not quantified your question. Certainly,

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

in any plant there is the necessity to use, to quote you, "chlorinated hydrocarbon type solvents" in the maintenance of equipment and functions of that nature.

- Q But to your knowledge, all of the plants of the Cryovac Division made use of industrial solvents during the time you have been associated with the Cryovac Division? That is a normal part of the manufacturing process?
- A Yes.
- And it is probably a good assumption or a fair assumption, based upon your knowledge of the activities of the Cryovac Division, that is the case?

MR. CHEESEMAN: I object to the form of the question and the use of an assumption.

MR. SCHLICHTMANN: Let me strike that question.

O Do you have any reason to believe that the plants of the Cryovac Division did not make use of these industrial solvents prior to your association with the Cryovac Division?

MR. CHEESEMAN: I object.

Q Do you have any reason to believe it is not the

-

case?

A I wasn't there, but I have no reason to believe they didn't.

To your knowledge, Mr. Stewart, when you became associated with the Cryovac Division of W. R. Grace in the mid 1960s, were there any policies or practices regarding how these industrial solvents should be disposed of?

MR. CHEESEMAN: Could you read it back?
MR. SCHLICHTMANN: I'll ask him again.

MR. CHEESEMAN: No. It'll give her something to do.

THE REPORTER: Question: To your knowledge, Mr. Stewart, when you became associated with the Cryovac Division of W. R. Grace in the mid 1960s, were there any policies or practices regarding how these industrial solvents should be disposed of?

- A I have no specific knowledge.
- At any time, to your knowledge, has the Cryovac Division of W. R. Grace promulgated any policies to the various plants regarding how these industrial solvents should be disposed of?

MR. CHEESEMAN: At any time?

We

25

1

MR. SCHLICHTMANN: I want to know if

there was.

MR. CHEESEMAN: I object to the question for that reason.

- Q Do you understand the question, Mr. Stewart?
- A I don't remember it now.
- Q I will repeat it.

When you began your association with the Cryovac Division of W. R. Grace, was it against Cryovac Division's policy for any of its plants to dispose of industrial solvents on the ground of the plant's property?

- A When I began my tenure with Cryovac Division, I have no knowledge of such a policy.
- O Is it fair to say the various plants of the Cryovac Division were allowed to dispose of industrial solvents on the ground prior to the enactment of federal regulations in 1980?

MR. CHEESEMAN: I object to the form of the question. Are you asking if there was, so far as he remembers, a specific policy that said you may, you are permitted?

MR. SCHLICHTMANN: Yes.

MR. CHEESEMAN: That said they could dispose of it on the ground?

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

## MR. SCHLICHTMANN: Yes.

No. A

> There was no policy allowing it, but to your knowledge, Mr. Stewart, there was no policy prohibiting it?

> > MR. CHEESEMAN: I think he said he didn't recall.

- I have no recollection of a policy stating that A specifically.
- You have no recollection of such a policy prohibiting disposal of industrial solvents on the ground until the federal regulations in 1980 being enacted?

MR. CHEESEMAN: You're asking about the period up until that time?

MR. SCHLICHTMANN: Yes.

- That is correct. A
- Now, based upon your knowledge of the Cryovac Division -- Strike that.

I will ask your opinion in reference to your responsibilities with the Cryovac Division. In your opinion, was a plant in violation of Cryovac policy if it disposed of industrial solvents on the ground prior to the enactment of

federal regulations in 1980?

MR. CHEESEMAN: I object to the question. The witness indicated he does not recall a specific policy prohibiting that. Your question is identical to the question that has already been asked and answered two or three times now.

MR. SCHLICHTMANN: I accept that.
MR. CHEESEMAN: Thank you.

Mr. Stewart, to your knowledge, did the plants, any of the plants of the Cryovac Division, dispose of industrial solvents on the ground prior to the enactment of federal regulations in 1980?

MR. CHEESEMAN: Can we get your definition of knowledge first? On several occasions you have specified that when you ask about a witness's knowledge of such matters that you are asking about his personal observations of such an act of disposal.

MR. SCHLICHTMANN: I will ask it both ways.

When I say to your personal knowledge, I mean did you actually observe it or did you perceive information through any of your senses that such

1 2 activity was taking place; that is how I will use 3 the phrase. MR. CHEESEMAN: Like hearing the plop 5 of liquid? 6 Smelling it, touching it. Q 7 A No. 8 Q Let me ask the question. 9 To your personal knowledge, did any of 10 the plants of Cryovac Division dispose of 11 industrial solvents on the ground prior to the 12 enactment of federal regulations prohibiting such 13 practices under federal law? 14 MR. CHEESEMAN: Did you ever observe 15 such an incident? 16 A No. 17 Did you ever hear or did it ever come to your 18 attention through any source whatsoever that any 19 of the plants of the Cryovac Division had 20 disposed of industrial solvents on the ground 21 prior to the enactment of federal regulations in 22 19802 23 MR. CHEESEMAN: I assume that my hear-

say objection is reserved and I need not say it

again?

24

25

2		MR. SCHLICHTMANN: Sure.
3		MR. CHEESEMAN: Secondly, I would just
4		say the question obviously requires a yes answer
5		because we already know that the witness was
6		involved in some investigation of activities at
7		the Woburn plant.
8		MR. SCHLICHTMANN: Right.
9		MR. CHEESEMAN: Are you excluding that
10		from the question?
11		MR. SCHLICHTMANN: Let him say yes. If
12		he says Woburn, I will find out.
13	A	Yes.
14	Q	Does that include plants other than Woburn or just
15		Woburn?
16	A	Just Woburn.
17	Q	It has never come to your attention any other
18		plants of the Cryovac Division had disposed of
19		industrial solvents on the ground other than
20		Woburn?
21		MR. CHEESEMAN: I think you asked about
22		the period prior to 1980.
23	Q	Prior to 1980.
24	A	That is correct.
25	Q	So to your knowledge then, the only plant which has

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

said, "to your knowledge?" your attention." Q Q Q

come to your attention that has disposed of industrial solvents on the ground beginning with your association with W. R. Grace in the mid 1960s until 1980, that is the Woburn plant? MR. CHEESEMAN: I am confused. MR. SCHLICHTMANN: Right. MR. CHEESEMAN: And then you said, "to To your personal knowledge, the only plant which has engaged in the practice of disposing industrial solvents on the ground for the period where you began your association with W. R. Grace in the mid 1960s until 1980 was the Woburn plant? MR. CHEESEMAN: I am confused again. He has already testified he didn't personally observe any such incidents, and I assume that would include the Woburn plant. The only plant to which it has come to your attention, from whatever source, that --MR. CHEESEMAN: Prior to 1980.

-- prior to 1980, between the mid '60s and 1980, the only plant which engaged in the practice of disposal of industrial solvents on the ground is

the Woburn plant?

MR. CHEESEMAN: I object. It implies there was a practice of doing that at the Woburn plant.

MR. SCHLICHTMANN: Okay. I will not use the word "practice."

Q The only plant which has come to your attention that has engaged in disposal of industrial solvents on the ground from the mid 1960s to 1980 was the Woburn plant?

MR. CHEESEMAN: Can I have that question read back?

THE REPORTER: Question: The only plant which has come to your attention that has engaged in disposal of industrial solvents on the ground from the mid 1960s to 1980 was the Woburn plant?

MR. CHEESEMAN: You're asking about information that came to his attention prior to 1980?

## MR. SCHLICHTMANN: Yes.

- A Correct.
- Q After 1980, Mr. Stewart, you have received information that other plants of the Cryovac Division, other than the Woburn plant, had at one

time or another disposed of industrial solvents on the ground?

MR. CHEESEMAN: I think I will object to that question based on relevancy. Specific activities of that sort might or might not have occurred at other plants that are not an issue in this lawsuit are entirely beyond the area you are entitled to inquire into. Unless you can tell me why, Jan, how it could lead to admissible evidence --

MR. SCHLICHTMANN: Don't you think it would be relevant if all the plants did engage in that activity even if it didn't come to Mr. Stewart's attention until 1980? It is conceivable other people involved with the Cryovac Division, that that should have been brought to their attention as well.

MR. CHEESEMAN: Activities at other plants other than Woburn could not be relevant.

MR. SCHLICHTMANN: Other than the fact all the plants or many of the plants engaged in the same activity. I think it has relevance as to whether Cryovac should have had a policy or not regarding that.

MR. CHEESEMAN: I disagree. I believe that Judge Skinner began a hearing on discovery, on the scope of discovery, sometime ago by saying that activities at other plants was beyond the scope of relevancy, of relevant discovery.

I would object to that. I would suggest, being mindful of Judge Skinner's direction that rather than instruct the witness not to answer the question and go to the judge, I would suggest that you either consider not pressing the question or if you want to press it, that we come back to that at the conclusion of the deposition rather than forcing me to suspend at this time.

MR. SCHLICHTMANN: Fine. It is obviously an issue between us. At this time, without prejudicing my right to have the question answered and your right to object to it, we will hold the issue between us and at a later time we can decide how to resolve it. I think it will become an issue.

My understanding is you do not want there to be inquiry into this area until the issue is resolved?

MR. CHEESEMAN: That is right.

MR. SCHLICHTMANN: Fine.

MR. CHEESEMAN: As I indicated earlier,
I don't object to some general background questions
about the nature of operations at the other
Cryovac plants or the nature of Cryovac's business.
But I understand your last question to inquire as
to specific disposal incidents at other plants
other than the Woburn plant. I think that would
be beyond what would reasonably lead to discovery
of admissible evidence in this case.

MR. SCHLICHTMANN: I want to reserve

both our rights. I don't want to suspend this

deposition. This witness is from out of state.

I don't want to prolong the deposition any longer

than I have to. I think the issue will have to

be resolved. I don't want to prejudice my rights.

MR. CHEESEMAN: As far as I'm concerned, if you wish to pursue that kind of information by interrogatory to us, that would be an appropriate means of doing it, and we would not object.

MR. SCHLICHTMANN: I don't want to prolong the deposition. I think we understand each other.

MR. CHEESEMAN: Thank you.

1		2-6
2	Q	Mr. Stewart, one of your duties was to inspect the
3		various plants of the Cryovac Division, including
4		the Woburn plant, from time to time; is that
5		correct?
6	A	I think you have to define "inspect" for me.
7	Ω	Before I get into that area, let me finish up on
8		this line of inquiry.
9		To your knowledge, were the resources of
10		W. R. Grace Corporation available to you to answer
11		questions concerning chemicals used in the

A Yes.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

11

Q And to your knowledge, W. R. Grace has lots of operations dealing with chemicals and their properties?

the plants of the Cryovac Division?

MR. CHEESEMAN: You're asking about W. R. Grace's resources and operations outside of the Cryovac Division?

manufacturing process of the Cryovac Division or

MR. SCHLICHTMANN: Exactly.

A Yes.

Well, in other words, you were aware that there were lots of highly qualified and trained experts in the areas of engineering, chemical engineering,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

23

24

25

toxicology, health, safety and so on in the other divisions of W. R. Grace? MR. CHEESEMAN: I will object to the use of the term "lots." I would ask the witness be mindful of the specific areas of expertise Mr. Schlichtmann just gave. MR. SCHLICHTMANN: Let me change the 10 question. I agree with the objection. Mr. Stewart, to your knowledge, the other resources 12 of the other divisions of W. R. Grace Corporation 13 were available to answer any inquiry you may have 14 regarding environmental-health matters or safety 15 matters; is that true? 16 That is correct. Ά 17 And to your knowledge, the other divisions of 18 W. R. Grace Corporation had experts in the various 19 fields of health, safety, toxicology or toxic substances? 20 21 I have no knowledge of all the divisions of W. R. A 22 Grace.

Was it your knowledge, your general knowledge, 0 there were various experts in the various other divisions of W. R. Grace Corporation which did

2 research or had knowledge of various health, 3 safety, toxicological or toxic substances matters? 4 MR. CHEESEMAN: You're asking in one or 5 more of the divisions? 6 MR. SCHLICHTMANN: Right. 7 A Yes. 8 W. R. Grace Corporation is a large corporation? 9 A Yes. 10 Has a long history of research and development in 11 the area of chemicals? 12 MR. CHEESEMAN: The witness is answering 13 so quickly. I want to object to the last question. 14 I don't know what you mean by a large corporation. 15 MR. SCHLICHTMANN: It's very large. 16 MR. CHEESEMAN: It was once a shipping 17 company. 18 MR. SCHLICHTMANN: And Mr. Grace did a 19 hell of a job to make it more than a shipping 20 company. 21 MR. CHEESEMAN: I would suggest this 22 sort of information can be handled by stipulation 23 or reading the company's reports. I don't know 24 that this witness --25 MR. SCHLICHTMANN: The point I want to

make --

question.

MR. CHEESEMAN: -- can answer that

Well, if you had some particular questions about the toxic properties of chemicals which were being used in the various manufacturing processes of the various plants of the Cryovac Division, is it fair to say you could have made inquiries, if you wished, or made use of the other resources of the other divisions of the W. R. Grace Corporation to answer those questions?

- A I could have.
- Q And from time to time you did?
- A Yes.
- Did you do so in trying to answer questions about the various toxic properties or alleged toxic properties of various industrial solvents the plant may be using?
- A I don't recall that I asked that specific question of anything -- of anybody outside of the Cryovac Division.
- Q So you don't recall?
  - A I don't recall.
  - Q You don't recall ever going outside Cryovac

Division to ask any questions which you might have had about the toxic properties or alleged toxic properties of various industrial solvents that the plants of the Cryovac Division used in the manufacturing process?

A That is correct.

- At any time, to your knowledge, did any other division of W. R. Grace Corporation or did
  W. R. Grace Corporation, outside of the Cryovac Division, ever supply your office or the various plants of the Cryovac Division with information concerning the toxic properties or the alleged toxic properties of the various industrial solvents that the Cryovac Division plants made use of?
- A I am sure that at some point there must have been some correspondence involving the alleged toxic qualities of the industrial solvents that you're talking about.
- Q Why do you make that assumption?

MR. CHEESEMAN: I am not sure he said it was an assumption. I don't know myself whether he was stating his memory or --

Q When you said you were sure, why did you say you

1		2-
2		were sure that is the case?
3	A	At the time that the various the various times
4		that various government agencies began to
5	ł	investigate the alleged toxic qualities of some of
6		the industrial solvents that we have been
7		discussing, it is my belief that information
8		probably was sent to us, information of a general
9		nature informing us of such investigations.
10	Q	What office sent that to your attention?
11	A	The office of Health, Safety and Toxicology of the
12		Industrial Chemicals Group of W. R. Grace &
13		Company.
14	Q	Where is that located?
15	A	Cambridge, Mass.
16	Q	Right across the river?
17	A	(Witness nods in the affirmative).
18	Q	Is that a special division of W. R. Grace
19		Corporation?
20		MR. CHEESEMAN: Is what?
21		MR. SCHLICHTMANN: This office.
22	A	It is a function within the Industrial Chemicals
23		Group.
24	Q	There is an Indistrial Chemicals Group within
25		W. R. Grace?

- 1	ł	- 9
2	A	Yes.
3	Ω	This particular office was the research office of
4		this group?
5		MR. CHEESEMAN: I think he gave the name
6		already.
7		MR. SCHLICHTMANN: It sounded great.
8	Q	Would you repeat it?
9	A	The office of Health, Safety and Toxicology.
10	Q	In Cambridge?
11	A	(Witness nods in the affirmative).
12	Q	Has that Health, Safety and Toxicology office of
13		the Chemicals Group
14		MR. CHEESEMAN: Industrial.
15		MR. SCHLICHTMANN: Industrial?
16		MR. CHEESEMAN: Industrial Chemicals
17		Group.
18		MR. SCHLICHTMANN: That's a hard one.
19		MR. CHEESEMAN: You can call it ICG.
20	Q	How long has the Health, Safety and Toxicology
21		division of the Industrial Chemicals Group been in
22		existence?
23	A	I have no idea.
24	Q	But they did send your office information
25		concerning the alleged toxic properties of various

'∦		2-6
2		industrial solvents?
3	A	Yes.
4	Q	And kept you abreast of various governmental
5		inquiries or investigations concerning the
6		properties of these industrial solvents?
7	A	Yes.
8	Q	Did you have constant contact with the Health,
9		Safety and Toxicology division of the Industrial
10		Chemicals Group?
11		MR. CHEESEMAN: I object to the word
12		"constant."
13	A	No.
14	Q	That was the resource available to you?
15	A	That is correct.
16	Q	They did have contact with you or with your office
17		from time to time?
18	A	That is correct.
19	Q	They provided information to not only the
20		Cryovac Division but the other divisions of W. R.
21		Grace?
22		MR. CHEESEMAN: If you know.
23	A	I don't have any knowledge.
24	Q	Do you have any reason to believe that is the
25		case?

		2-68
2	A	They were a source within ICG and probably
3		performed the same function within ICG. Beyond
4		that, I have no knowledge.
5	Q	You don't know how long ICG has been in existence,
6		do you?
7	A	No.
8	Q	Or how long Health, Safety and Toxicology of the
9		ICG has been in existence?
10	A	No.
11	Q	I show you a document that has been marked in the
12		deposition of Paul Shalline.
13		MR. CHEESEMAN: A version of which has
14		been marked as an exhibit to the Paul Shalline
15		deposition.
16	Q	You're familiar with that document?
17	A	Yes.
18		MR. SCHLICHTMANN: Can we have that
19		marked?
20		(Two-page memo dated May 8, 1975, marked Stewart Exhibit
21		No. 2.)
22	Q	Was that document, Stewart Exhibit 2, the first
23		time you informed the various divisions of the
24		Cryovac Division to set up a hazardous substance
25		or toxic materials file?

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	A
6	Q
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	A
12	Q
13	A
14	
15	
16	
17	Q
18	
19	
20	
21	1

MR. CHEESEMAN: You mean the various plants of the Cryovac Division?

MR. SCHLICHTMANN: Right.

- A Yes; a specific file.
- Q And prior to May 8, 1975, do you ever recall disseminating information or your office disseminating information to the various plants of the Cryovac Division concerning hazardous substances or toxic materials?
- A Yes.
- Q Do you remember what occasions those were?
- A OSHA covered a variety of so-called hazardous, alleged hazardous substances and alleged toxic substances, and in conjunction with OSHA we would obviously have delved into those matters.
- In this memo you sent to the various plants, at the top left hand corner it has a list of names with various places: Cedar Rapids, Simpsonville, Iowa Park, Camarillo, Duncan and Woburn; is that right?
- A Yes.

22

23

24

25

Q Those are the various plants of the Cryovac Division?

MR. CHEESEMAN: Are you asking if those

·		2-7
2		are all the plants?
3		MR. SCHLICHTMANN: Or some of them.
4		MR. CHEESEMAN: As of the date of the
5		memo?
6		MR. SCHLICHTMANN: As of the date of the
7		memo.
8	A	As of the date of that memo, those were most of the
9		facilities of the Cryovac Division, Formpac
10		Division and Grace Distribution Services Division.
11	Q	Prior to May 8, 1975, do you ever remember sending
12		a stop-use order or did your office send a stop-
13		use order to any plant of Cryovac Division
14		regarding industrial solvents?
15	A	No.
16	Q	Was this the first time a stop-use order of any
17		type, to your knowledge, was sent by Cryovac
18		Division to the various plants of Cryovac?
19	A	Yes.
20	Q	Was this an unusual step?
21		MR. CHEESEMAN: Objection.
22		MR. SCHLICHTMANN: It's well taken.
23		MR. CHEESEMAN: It was the first one at
24		the time, so I guess at the time it was unique.
25	Q	Well, at the time it was unique?

that.

MR. CHEESEMAN: Objection. Go ahead and answer. He already said it was the first time.

MR. SCHLICHTMANN: I will stick with

- What was the reason you sent the stop order, the stop-use order concerning trichloroethylene and toluene?
- A Quoting from my memo now: "Considerable farreaching legislation is now in the works on this
  broad subject which will take us far beyond the
  Airborne Contaminant and carcinogenic substances
  now listed in Section 1910.93."

The federal government was investigating the alleged toxic or hazardous nature of some substances when -- and when that came to our attention, we so informed our plants.

Q Well, had W. R. Grace Corporation made a decision that these substances, trichloroethylene and toluene, would no longer be used at these plants?

MR. CHEESEMAN: You're asking if Grace had ever --

MR. SCHLICHTMANN: As opposed to Cryovac.

İ	 	4-1
2	A	I have no knowledge.
3	Q	Did the Cryovac Division make this decision on its
4		own or was this a W. R. Grace Corporation decision
5		that would affect all its divisions?
6	A	Exhibit 2 is a Cryovac Division document.
7	Q	I understand that. But what I want to know is,
8		to your knowledge, did Cryovac Division make this
9		decision on its own that trichloroethylene and
10		toluene no longer be used by its various plants
11		or was this decision to cover all divisions of
12		W. R. Grace?
13	A	I have no knowledge.
14	Q	You have no idea?
15	A	The Cryovac Division made this decision. You
16		asked whether or not W. R. Grace made the decision
17		and I have no knowledge.
18	Q	Was this decision made by you?
19	A	Yes.
20	Ω	You made this decision and you transmitted this
21		decision to the various plants of the Cryovac
22		Division?
23	A	Yes.
24	Q	Did you have to clear the decision that you made
25		with any officers or officials of either Cryovac

		2-1
2		Division or W. R. Grace who you were subordinate
3		to?
4	A	Yes.
5	Ω	Who did you have to clear it with?
6	A	My immediate superior.
7	Ω	Mr. Baird?
8	A	Mr. McElhiney.
9	Q	And to your knowledge, did Mr. McElhiney clear that
10		decision with anyone else, with higher-ups in
11		Cryovac or W. R. Grace?
12	A	It would be normal procedure on instances of this
13		nature for Mr. McElhiney to inform his superior
14		and others who were involved in this area of such
15		a happening.
16	Q	Would that include people who were associated
17		outside the Cryovac Division?
18	A	No.
19	Q	To your knowledge, was this a decision solely
20		made by Cryovac Division?
21		MR. CHEESEMAN: You mean so far as he
22		is aware?
23	Q	So far as you're aware.
24	A	As far as I'm aware.
25	Q	You have no reason to believe this decision, that

		۷۰
2		anyone was consulted or conferred with outside of
3		the Cryovac Division who was associated with
4		W. R. Grace Corporation?
5	A	That is correct.
6	Q	Now, is it fair to say this was a decision which
7		you initiated and decided prior to passing it on
8		to Mr. McElhiney or some other individual?
9	A	I discussed it with my superiors and then took
10		this action.
11	Ω	Did the original idea to stop use of these
12		chemicals originate with you?
13	A	Yes.
14	Q	Could you outline for us as best you can the
15		reasons which you had to stop use of these
16		chemicals, trichloroethylene and toluene, by the
17		various plants of the Cryovac Division?
18	A	Information came to my attention that these
19		substances were under scrutiny for alleged toxic
20		or hazardous or carcinogenic properties by the
21		federal government, and I acted on that
22		information.
23	Q	How did that come to your attention?
24	A	I do not have a specific recollection. It may
25		have been either the Federal Register, BNA

2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	1

23

24

25

reports or both.

Q So is it fair to say it came to your attention during your normal research in this area that toluene and trichloroethylene were considered to be or suspected to be carcinogenic and therefore, you made the decision, which you then cleared with others in Cryovac Division, to order the plants of Cryovac Division to cease use of these two chemicals?

MR. CHEESEMAN: I object to the question having multiple parts, and to the extent it is intended to paraphrase or quote what the witness already testified to.

MR. SCHLICHTMANN: He can tell me if it is accurate or not.

MR. CHEESEMAN: I am objecting because it is not fair.

MR. SCHLICHTMANN: If Mr. Stewart agrees with you, you win.

MR. CHEESEMAN: There are so many facets to that question that I don't think he can answer it simply.

MR. SCHLICHTMANN: Let me withdraw the question.

21

22

23

24

25

MR. CHEESEMAN: I don't mean to be cute. The stop-use order specifically indicates that the substances have been cited as a carcinogenic substance, as having potential carcinogenic hazards, or as having other proven or potential serious health hazards. You left out most of those aspects in your question.

## (Brief pause)

(Memo dated September 18, 1983, marked Exhibit No. 3.)

(NIOSH recommendation on trichloroethylene, marked Exhibit No. 4.)

(Memo dated August 4, 1967, marked Exhibit No. 5.)

(Memo dated March 22, 1967, marked Exhibit No. 6.)

(Two pages of handwritten notes, marked Exhibit No. 7.)

(Memo dated August 24, 1973, marked Exhibit No. 8.)

(Memo dated November 22, 1967, marked Exhibit No. 9.)

(Memo dated May 20, 1974, marked Exhibit No. 10.)

(Two-page list, marked Exhibit No. 11.)

1		2
2	Q	Mr. Stewart, I show you what has been marked
3		Shalline Exhibit 3 from a previous deposition.
4		You have had an opportunity to look at that?
5	A	Yes.
6	Q	At any time have you ever seen that document at
7		all prior to today?
8	A	Yes.
9	Q	When did you first see that?
10	A	Our attorney showed it to me.
11	Q	That was after the litigation?
12	A	Correct.
13	Q	Prior to the litigation in this case, had you seen
14		that?
15	A	No.
16	Q	Do you know if a copy of that was on file in your
17	<u> </u>	office?
18	A	To my knowledge, no.
19		MR. CHEESEMAN: You want to go to the
20		next one?
21		MR. SCHLICHTMANN: Okay.
22	Ω	This is Stewart Exhibit 6. Have you ever seen
23		that document before?
24	A	No.
25	Q	When was

'		2-7
2	A	I beg your pardon. I believe I have seen this with
3		my attorney.
4	Q	Prior to commencement of litigation in this case,
5		had you seen this document?
6	A	No.
7		MR. CHEESEMAN: I think when he said
8		"my attorney" he was referring to me.
9		MR. SCHLICHTMANN: W. R. Grace's
10		attorney?
11		MR. CHEESEMAN: Right.
12		THE WITNESS: This one (Indication).
13	Ω	This particular document talks about a pollution
14		control officer being appointed by Bill Baird.
15		Do you know what that document is referring to?
16		Do you have any other knowledge of a pollution
17		control officer being appointed by Bill Baird in
18		the late '60s?
19		MR. CHEESEMAN: I think it refers to
20		the future.
21		MR. SCHLICHTMANN: Right.
22		MR. CHEESEMAN: I believe we mentioned
23		to you before it is not clear from the document
24		whether it was referring to Woburn's pollution
25	1	control officer or headquarters' pollution control

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

officer. MR. SCHLICHTMANN: I want to see if Mr. Stewart can help me on that. A At some point in time, and I cannot tell you the specific time, Mr. Baird appointed a pollution control officer. At the Woburn plant or for the Cryovac Division? Cryovac Division. Do you know who that pollution control officer was? I believe it was Richard Stewart. Would that be the same Richard Stewart who is 13 sitting here today? A Same one. Do you believe you became pollution control officer in the late '60s? I don't recall the date. Was it required that each of the plants of the Cryovac Division appoint a pollution control officer at each of the plants answerable to you?

The first part of the question, it was not required that each plant have a pollution control officer; second part of your question, none of the plants' pollution contacts or environmental contacts were answerable to me because they all

ļ		2-0
2		were answerable to the plant managers.
3	Q	Were you in an advisory role?
4	A	Correct.
5	Q	To your knowledge then, was it required of each
6		plant to appoint a pollution control officer for
7		pollution control at each plant?
8	A	Each plant had a person who, to my knowledge, was
9		my environmental contact.
10	Q	Do you know when that policy went into effect?
11	A	At the same time that Mr. Baird appointed a
12		pollution control officer for Cryovac.
13	Q	And you believe that took place in the late 1960s,
14		sometime after 1967?
15		MR. CHEESEMAN: I think he
16	A	I do not have a recollection.
17	Ω	But somewhere about the late 1960s, sometime
18		after 1967, you think?
19	A	Yes.
20		MR. CHEESEMAN: Can I have a moment
21		with the witness?
22		MR. SCHLICHTMANN: Sure.
23		(Pause)
24		MR. CHEESEMAN: Next question.
25		MR. SCHLTCHTMANN: Is there anything

		<b>2-</b>
2		you want to clarify?
3		MR. CHEESEMAN: No.
4	Q	To your knowledge, was Paul Shalline appointed
5		environmental contact to the pollution control
6		officer at the Woburn plant?
7	A	I have no knowledge as to his being appointed any
8		particular title.
9	Q	Was it your understanding that Paul Shalline was
10		the environmental contact concerning environmental
11		matters at the Woburn plant?
12	A	I would answer the question in this manner: In
13		most cases on environmental matters, when we
14		contacted the Woburn plant we were referred to
15		Paul Shalline to provide information.
16	Q	Was there any other person you were referred to
17		other than Paul Shalline at any other time?
18		MR. CHEESEMAN: For environmental
19		reasons?
20		MR. SCHLICHTMANN: Yes.
21	A	At the Woburn plant?
22	Q	Yes.
23	A	No.
24	Q	Now, prior to your appointment at Cryovac
25		Division as pollution control officer, was there

	14	
2		a person responsible for pollution control affairs
3		to your knowledge?
4		MR. CHEESEMAN: You're asking at the
5		divisional level?
6		MR. SCHLICHTMANN: Right.
7	A	To my knowledge, it has always been the philosophy
8		of Cryovac Division to be interested in the
9		-
4.0		environment and there has always been an
10		individual who performed that function.
11	Q	Do you know who it was prior to you performing
12		the functions?
13	A	Mr. Fran W. Greenough.
14	Q	Is he still associated with the Cryovac Division?
15	A	Deceased.
16	Q	Was there anybody who was responsible prior to
17		him?
18	A	I have no knowledge.
19	Q	What were your qualifications in the area of
20		pollution control which qualified you to take over
21		as pollution control officer for the Cryovac
22		Division?
23		MR. CHEESEMAN: I object to the form of
24		the question. You may answer.
25	A	The ability to read the Federal Register, Bureau
	1	

'	Ï	2-8:
2		of National Affair reports, other information that
3		I had available to me; and understanding those
4		sources of information; and being able to pass
5		that information on to the plants as necessary.
6	Q	Had you received any specialized training in
7		pollution control or matters concerning
8		pollution?
9	A	Prior to '65?
10	Q	Yes.
11	A	No.
12	Q	Prior to your appointment as pollution control
13		officer, had you received specialized training of
14		any kind?
15		MR. CHEESEMAN: Relating to those
16		matters?
17		MR. SCHLICHTMANN: Relating to pollution
18		control.
19	A	I attended seminars, the usual routine method of
20		gaining knowledge.
21	Q	In any of the seminars you attended, did you ever
22		receive information concerning whether a pouring
23		of industrial solvents on the ground was a proper
24		practice or an approved practice?

I have no recollection.

		2
2	Q	In any of the seminars you attended, did you ever
3		receive information that it was not a good idea
4		for whatever reason to pour industrial solvents on
5		the ground as a means of disposal?
6		MR. CHEESEMAN: I object to the form of
7		the question. Are you asking about seminars at
8		any point in time?
9		MR. SCHLICHTMANN: At any point.
0		MR. CHEESEMAN: So that would include
1		whether it was a good idea to do because it was
2		illegal?
3		MR. SCHLICHTMANN: Prior to 1980.
4	A	To my knowledge, no.
5	Q	To your knowledge, what were the qualifications
6		of Mr. Paul Shalline to be the environmental
7		contact person at the Woburn plant, or do you
8		have any knowledge as to his qualifications in
9		that regard?
0	A	I have no knowledge.
1	Q	We will go to the next document.
2		MR. CHEESEMAN: Stewart Exhibit 5?
3		MR. SCHLICHTMANN: Yes.
4	Q	Do you remember ever seeing that document?

MR. CHEESEMAN: For the sake of

2		
		convenience, could you phrase this series of
3		questions as "do you remember having seen the
4		document prior to the commencement of this law-
5		suit in May of 1982?"
6		MR. SCHLICHTMANN: Fine.
7	Q	Do you remember reviewing this document or seeing
8		it prior to the commencement of this lawsuit in
9	l	•
		1982?
10	A	I don't recall having seen this document.
11		MR. SCHLICHTMANN: What is the next
12		document?
13		MR. CHEESEMAN: Exhibit 9.
14	Q	Do you remember reviewing Exhibit 9 prior to the
15		commencement of the lawsuit in 1982?
16	A	I do not.
17		MR. SCHLICHTMANN: And the next
18		document?
19		MR. CHEESEMAN: Exhibit 7.
20	Q	Do you remember reviewing Exhibit 7 prior to the
21		commencement of the lawsuit in May of 1982?
22		MR. CHEESEMAN: This is two pages of
23		handwritten notes.
24	A	No.
25	l	MR. SCHLICHTMANN: Next document?

'		2
2		MR. CHEESEMAN: This is Stewart
3		Exhibit 11.
4	Q	Do you ever remember seeing that document prior to
5	ļ.	the commencement of the lawsuit in May of 1980?
6	A	No.
7		MR. SCHLICHTMANN: The next one?
8		MR. CHEESEMAN: Stewart Exhibit 3.
9	Q	This is a memo to you, so I assume that you are
10		familiar with this document prior to the commence-
11		ment of the lawsuit?
12		MR. CHEESEMAN: If you remember.
13	A	Yes.
14	Ω	And do you ever remember receiving this memo from
15		Mr. Gunnard?
16	A	Yes.
17	Q	Did you ever have any conversation with
18		Mr. Gunnard about the phasing out of solvents at
19		the Woburn plant?
20	A	No.
21	Q	So your only memory of that is this memo itself?
22	A	Yes.
23		MR. SCHLICHTMANN: Next document?
24		MR. CHEESEMAN: Stewart Exhibit 8.
25	o	You're familiar with this document?

1		2-8
2	A	Yes.
3	Q	Is this document What is the number on this
4		document?
5	A	8.
6	Q	Stewart Exhibit 8, is this the first time you
7		informed the plants at the Cryovac Division that
8		they should stop using or cease using toluene or
9		trichloroethylene?
10		MR. CHEESEMAN: Wait just a minute.
11		MR. SCHLICHTMANN: That is down at the
12		last paragraph.
13		MR. CHEESEMAN: I guess I will object to
14		the form of the question. I believe you
15		characterized this as a request.
16		MR. SCHLICHTMANN: A recommendation.
17	Ω	Mr. Stewart, is Stewart Exhibit 8 the first
18		communication that you sent to the various plants
19		of Cryovac Division recommending that the plants
20		cease use of toluene and trichloroethylene?
21	A	That is correct.
22	Ω	And at this point this was a recommendation and
23		was not an order; is that correct?
24	A	Correct.
25	0	Te the reason that you issued this recommendation

the reasons that are set forth in this document or are there other reasons other than as set forth in this document?

- A The reasons are set forth in this document.
- O There are no other reasons?
- A No.
- Now, Mr. Stewart, did it ever come to your attention between 1973 and 1980 that any of the plants had ceased use of toluene or trichloroethylene and had disposed of them on the property by pouring them on the ground?
- A No.
- O Did it ever come to your attention before sending out this document, Mr. Stewart, between in August of 1973 and 1980, that the Woburn plant ceased use of toluene or trichloroethylene by pouring them on the ground?
- A No.
- Q Did you ever at any time have any conversation with Mr. Greenough about the practices of the various plants of the Cryovac Division concerning the disposal of industrial solvents?
- 24 A No.

Q You don't remember any?

1		2-
2	A	No.
3		MR. SCHLICHTMANN: What do you have
4		next, Bill?
5		MR. CHEESEMAN: Stewart Exhibit 10.
6	Q	Have you ever seen this document prior to the
7		commencement of the lawsuit in May of 1982?
8	A	No.
9		MR. SCHLICHTMANN: And the last
10		document?
11		MR. CHEESEMAN: Stewart Exhibit 4.
12	Q	Do you ever remember seeing that prior to the
13		commencement of the lawsuit in May of 1982?
14	A	No.
15	Q	Mr. Stewart, during your two-day investigation of
16		the Woburn plant to answer the EPA's letter, did
17		you at any time during that investigation or at
18		any time subsequent to that or after that
19		investigation, did you ever review any purchase
20		orders or any documents concerning or evidencing
21		the purchase of chemicals by the Woburn plant?
22		MR. DeGIACOMO: Do you mean the witness
23		personally?
24		MR. SCHLICHTMANN: Yes.
25		MR. CHEESEMAN: When you refer to the

two-day investigation, I assume you're referring to the two days Mr. Stewart spent -
MR. SCHLICHTMANN: In January of 1982.

MR. CHEESEMAN: -- at the plant?

MR. SCHLICHTMANN: That is right.

A I have seen documents listed as purchase requisitions or similar to that since that time in conference with my attorney.

Q Prior to the instigation of the lawsuit in May of 1982, had you ever reviewed any purchase orders or any documents relating to the purchase of chemicals at the Woburn plant?

A No.

MR. CHEESEMAN: Not so quick, please.

The last part of that question is very broad. I don't know whether or not any of the exhibits we already looked at refer to relate to the purchase of chemicals in any way.

If you don't mind just flipping through these documents here and telling us if you remember at any time reviewing these documents relating to the purchase of chemicals at the Woburn plant which have been submitted as a Shalline exhibit.

MR. CHEESEMAN: Are you specifying what

- 1	l	<b>2</b> 3-
2		you're showing him are copies of purchase
3		requisitions that were marked as an exhibit in
4		Mr. Shalline's deposition?
5		MR. SCHLICHTMANN: Yes. And they were
6		Shalline Exhibit
7		MR. CHEESEMAN: You don't need to get
8		the number.
9		MR. SCHLICHTMANN: They are all purchase
10		orders.
11		MR. CHEESEMAN: You're asking the
12		witness to flip through and get a general
13		impression of the visual appearance?
14	Q	Did you ever review copies like this or copies of
15		these documents or the originals?
16	A	Any specific time?
17	Q	Prior to the instigation of this lawsuit in May of
18		1980.
19	A	No.
20		MR. SCHLICHTMANN: Can we agree these
21		are exhibits that were marked 20A through M?
22		MR. CHEESEMAN: I am willing to accept
23		your representation.
24	Q	During your investigation at the Woburn plant in
25		January of 1982 or subsequent to that up until

this nature.

24

25

1

the time you provided the information to answer the EPA's letter, did you --MR. CHEESEMAN: I will object already because of the "information provided" aspect of At any time during your two-day investigation in the Woburn plant in January of 1982 or the time after that until you completed your investigation and submitted your report about the results of the investigation, did you at any time see any documents which evidenced the purchase of chemicals by the Woburn plant? MR. CHEESEMAN: I just want to state, not as an objection, but the use of the phrase "at the time Mr. Stewart completed his investigation" should not be understood to mean MR. SCHLICHTMANN: Grace's investiga-MR. CHEESEMAN: -- Grace or Cryovac Division had completed inquiry into matters of

MR. SCHLICHTMANN: Prior to its reply

1		2-9
2		to the EPA?
3		MR. CHEESEMAN: I don't understand what
4		you just said.
5		MR. SCHLICHTMANN: Vin Forte's reply
6		in
7		MR. CHEESEMAN: Leave the question and
8		answer the way it was.
9		MR. SCHLICHTMANN: Do you want to
10		qualify it in some way or something? It is
11		important.
12		MR. CHEESEMAN: I understand your
13		question related to the use of the word
14		"completed."
15		MR. SCHLICHTMANN: Mr. Stewart's
16		investigation.
17		MR. CHEESEMAN: I assume you mean
18		MR. SCHLICHTMANN: His personal
19		investigation.
20	Q	Did you understand the question? Let me try it
21	<b>.</b>	again.
22		During your two-day investigation in
23		the Woburn plant in January of 1982 or times
24		after that up until the time you completed your
25		personal investigation and the report you

2 submitted concerning the results of that 3 investigation, did you at any time review any documents which evidenced the purchase of 5 chemicals by the Woburn plant? 6 A No. 7 0 Did you review any such documents evidencing the 8 purchase of chemicals of the Woburn plant prior 9 to the commencement of this lawsuit in May of 10 1982? 11 MR. CHEESEMAN: Documents? 12 MR. SCHLICHTMANN: Evidencing the 13 purchase of chemicals at the Woburn plant. 14 Α No. 15 0 To your knowledge, did anybody at Cryovac 16 Division review documents evidencing the purchase 17 of chemicals at the Woburn plant prior to the 18 commencement of litigation in May of 1982? 19 I have no knowledge. 20 Now, Mr. Stewart, from time to time one of your 21 obligations was to go to visit the various plants 22 of the Cryovac Division regarding various matters relating to your responsibility? 23

In fact, one of your responsibilities was to

25

24

Α

Correct.

1

•		2-9
2		help the plants in all aspects of, or aspects
3		relating to the construction of additions or the
4	İ	building of plants?
5	A	That is correct.
6	Q	And it was your responsibility to review plans for
7		such additions or the construction of additions or
8		the construction of the plants themselves as well
9		as all the systems relating thereto?
10	A	Yes.
11	Q	And it was your responsibility to pass on the
12		acceptability of these plans or construction and
13		the systems relating to the plants?
14	A	If you would define "systems relating to the
15		plants?"
16	Ω	I mean the drainage system, electrical system, all
17		the other engineering systems.
18	A	Yes.
19	Q	That was your responsibility?
20		MR. CHEESEMAN: You're asking if he was
21		the one with that responsibility?
22	Q	That was one of your responsibilities?
23	A	One of my responsibilities, yes.
24	Q	Were you the one who was ultimately responsible
25		for overseeing or observing the completion of that

'		2-96
2		construction?
3	A	No.
4	Q	Was there someone else over you?
5	A	Yes.
6	Q	Who would that be?
7	A	Mr. McElhiney.
8	Q	Did Mr. McElhiney, to your knowledge, ever visit
9		the Woburn plant?
10	A	Yes.
11	Q	Did he visit the Woburn plant in your company?
12	A	Yes.
13	Q	Did he ever visit the plant without you to your
14		knowledge?
15	A	Yes.
16	Q	Do you remember how many times he did that?
17	A	Many times.
18	Q	Was there some reason for him to go to the Woburn
19		plant more than you?
20		MR. CHEESEMAN: Objection.
21	Q	To your knowledge?
22		MR. CHEESEMAN: If you understand the
23		question.
24		THE WITNESS: I understand the question.
25	Q	Did his responsibilities call for him to go to

1		2-97
2		Woburn more often than yours for some reason?
3		MR. CHEESEMAN: Objection to the form as
4		well.
5		Did he go more often then you as far as
6		you know?
7		THE WITNESS: Yes.
8		THE WITHESS: 165.
	Q	Is there a particular reason why he went more often
9		than you?
10		MR. CHEESEMAN: If you know.
11	A	Yes.
12	Q	What was that reason?
13	A	He was born and raised in Boston and had family in
14		Boston, and obviously he would partake of
15		opportunities to come to Boston.
16	Q	Is Mr. McElhiney still alive?
17	A	No.
18	Ω	He is dead?
19	A	Yes.
20	Q	Do you know when he died?
21	A	I don't recall the specific date. It was late in
22		the '70s.
23	Q	Are you familiar with the construction of the
24		additions to the Woburn plant in 1966 and 1974,
25		as well as the construction of the warehouse in

1		2-98
2		1970?
3	A	Yes.
4	Q	Did you review the plans prior to the construction
5		of those additions and the warehouse?
6	A	Alongwith others, yes.
7	Ω	Did you observe or did you oversee the construction
8		of those additions and the warehouse?
9	A	No.
10	Q	Did you ever visit the plant during the construc-
11		tion of those additions and the warehouse?
12	A	Your question is: Did I ever visit the plant
13		during any construction at Woburn?
14	Q	Yes.
15	A	Yes.
16	Q	You were present during some parts of the
17		construction of the first addition?
18	A	No.
19	Q	Were you present at the plant during some parts of
20		the construction of the second addition?
21	A	This is the 1969 to 1970 period?
22		MR. CHEESEMAN: He is asking about 1974,
23		second addition to the back of the main building.
24		THE WITNESS: NO.

Were you present during construction of 1969 to

1		2-99
2		1970?
3	A	Yes.
4	Q	Of the warehouse?
5	A	Yes.
6	Ω	During any of your visits to the Woburn plant,
7		Mr. Stewart, did you ever see any indication that
8		waste material or waste liquid was disposed of on
9		the ground at the Woburn plant?
10	A	No.
11	Q	At no time?
12	A	No.
13	Q	At any time that you were at the
14	A	You asked a negative question.
15	Q	I did?
16	·A	At no time; the answer is yes.
17	Ω	I don't want to be confusing.
18		I will ask you look at this plan and
19		see if you're familiar with it. This was
20		previously marked Sergi Exhibit 2.
21		MR. CHEESEMAN: I think Mr. Schlichtmann
22		represented this was a site plan that was filed for
23		purposes of obtaining approval of construction of
24		the second addition.

Is that familiar to you at all? Do you remember

•		2-
2		reviewing a plan like that or that plan?
3	A	I have seen this plan.
4	Q	You have?
5	A	Yes.
6	Q	You did review it prior to construction of the
7		second addition?
8	A	I have seen it.
9		MR. CHEESEMAN: You don't know when you
10	,	saw it?
11		THE WITNESS: Not specifically.
12	Q	Are you familiar with the system that the Woburn
13		plant had for the drainage of roof water?
14	A	I am.
15	Q	How would you describe that system?
16	A	The plant was designed with a system of sloped
17		roofs, interior roof drains into a trunk line
18		which carried the storm drainage water toward the
19		rear of the plant and eventually put it into what
20		has been referred to as the south trench.
21	Ω	On this plan it says "existing CI pipe," right?
22	A	Uh-huh.
23	Q	Do you see that?
24	A	Yes.
25	Q	That is a cast iron pipe?

- 11		
2	A	Yes.
3	Q	And prior to construction of the second addition,
4		did the storm water system work such that the
5		storm water was collected in a cast iron pipe which
6		was brought to a manhole behind the main building,
7		and then another pipe went from that manhole to
8		the south trench near the area where the warehouse
9		was later built?
10	A	The plans indicate a manhole. I have no specific
11		knowledge of a manhole.
12	Q	Well, are you familiar with whether or not there
13		was a manhole to which the trunk line that came
14		from the main line emptied and then another pipe
15		picked up that storm water drainage from that
16		manhole to the south trench?
17		MR. CHEESEMAN: I think that is the
18		question you just asked. He said he does not have
19		any recollection of that himself.
20		MR. SCHLICHTMANN: Okay.
21		MR. CHEESEMAN: But he did see it on the
22		plan.
23	Q	Was that system in place for the main building?
24		MR. CHEESEMAN: If you have any knowledge
25	}	of that

- 11		
2	A	The storm drainage system that I described was the
3		system in place.
4	Q	In the main building?
5	A	Yes.
6	Q	Prior to construction of the first addition?
7	A	Yes.
8	Q	So that we're both clear, in reference to Sergi
9		Exhibit 2, is it fair to say that the main building
10		occupied the space within the black line and blue
11		mark that I have made on it?
12	A	That is correct.
13	Q	And that where the exhibit indicates an existing
14		cast iron pipe, that cast iron pipe came from the
15		blue line, which would have been the east wall of
16		the main building, carried it to a manhole and
17		then another pipe ran from that manhole to the
18		south trench?
19	A	That is what the plan says.
20		MR. CHEESEMAN: You misunderstood the
21		question.
22	Q	I mean your knowledge of plans which evidenced the
23		storm water system prior to the construction of
24		the first addition.

MR. DeGIACOMO: Independent of the plan?

MR. CHEESEMAN: He wants to know if you remember there was a pipe existing underground from the rear of the original main plant before any additions were put on, and whether that drain pipe from the rear of the original building went into some kind of container that had a manhole on it and changed direction and continued underground for the drainage trench all before the first or second additions were put on.

- A I have no recollection of manholes. I was aware of the existence of storm drainage system of pipes carrying the water from the roof to the trench.
- O To the south trench?
- A Correct.
- Did you ever see on any plans or did you ever learn from whatever source that the original storm water drainage system acted the same or was built along the same principles as the present storm water drainage system?

MR. CHEESEMAN: You're asking about the configuration you just described?

MR. SCHLICHTMANN: Exactly.

- A That is correct.
- Q In other words, the configuration of storm water

drainage system as it existed is essentially as it existed for the main building, except for the fact that it was closer toward the main building and ran underneath where there is now the first and second additions?

MR. CHEESEMAN: So far as you're aware.

- Q So far as you're aware.
- A That is correct.
- You're familiar with the fact that the present system works so that the trunk line comes from the building and then empties into a manhole which is located outside the area of the second addition on the asphalt, and a separate line or pipe goes from that manhole to the south trench and empties the storm water?
- A Correct.
  - Q That configuration existed prior to the construction of the second addition; is that correct?
  - A With the exception of manholes, and I have no recollection.
- Q You don't know if the pipe went into a manhole or not?
- 25 A Correct.

`		2-103
2	Q	But the pipe did run along the same lines as it runs
3		now and the pipe jogged off to the south trench as
4		it does now, except you don't know about the
5		manhole?
6		MR. CHEESEMAN: And the location of
7		diagonal pipe.
8	Q	And the placement of diagonal pipe has changed?
9	A	Yes.
10	Q	As the building has been built up?
11	A	Right.
12	Q	Now, do you have any knowledge or have you reviewed
13		any plans which indicated there was a manhole in
14		the area where the first addition was constructed
15		prior to the construction of the first addition?
16		MR. CHEESEMAN: Prior to the construc-
17		tion of the first addition?
18		MR. SCHLICHTMANN: Yes.
19	A	I have no knowledge.
20	Q	Do you have any reason to believe there was such
21		a manhole?
22	A	I have no knowledge.
23	Q	You're aware the trunk line came from the main
24		building along the same line that it now does,
25	{	except that it terminated under where the first

1		2-1
2		addition is now constructed and another pipe went
3		off at an angle to the south trench; is that
4		right?
5	A	I'm not sure of that; no.
6	Q	What is it you're not sure of?
7	A	You specifically said that it terminated under
8		where the first addition is.
9	Q	Would you be able to draw with a pen on this
10		exhibit, Sergi Exhibit 2, and show us the
11		configuration of the drainage system as it
12		existed for the main building?
13		MR. CHEESEMAN: He said he can't
14		because he is not sure.
15		MR. SCHLICHTMANN: I thought he said he
16		knew how it essentially existed.
17	Ω	Could you draw that configuration as you are aware
18		it existed?
19		MR. CHEESEMAN: If you can't remember
20		the precise position
21	Q	Can you draw it generally?
22		MR. CHEESEMAN: He can't.
23	Ω	You can't do that?
24		MR. CHEESEMAN: It is not his testimony
25		that

1		2-
2	A	No.
3	Q	In examining that plan, sir, does it not indicate
4		on the plan there was a manhole which existed
5		where the second addition was constructed prior to
6		the construction of the second addition?
7	A	The plan so indicates.
8	Q	And to your knowledge, did such a manhole exist?
9	A	I have no knowledge.
10	Ω	It was indicated on the plan?
11	A	It was.
12	Q	Do you have any reason to believe it was not the
13		case?
14	A	No knowledge.
15	Q	At any time, to your knowledge, did waste
16		material or was waste liquid disposed by pouring
17		it into that storm water drainage system?
18	A	I don't know.
19		MR. CHEESEMAN: You mean if he
20		observed anyone pouring something into a manhole
21		cover which he does not remember existing?
22		MR. SCHLICHTMANN: Right.
23		THE WITNESS: The answer is no.
24	Ω	Or that the storm water drainage system was used
25		for the disposal of waste liquid?

'		2
2	A	The answer is no.
3	Q	And you never observed it personally; is that
4		correct?
5	A	Correct.
6	Q	Had it ever come to your attention from whatever
7		source prior to the commencement of the lawsuit
8		in May of 1982 that at any time in the past the
9		storm water drainage system was used for the
10		disposal of waste liquid?
11	A	Other than storm drainage?
12	Q	Other than storm water drainage.
13	A	No.
14	Q	And that storm water drainage system, its sole
15		purpose, to your knowledge, was to carry off
16		water, storm water, from the roof; is that
17		correct?
18		MR. CHEESEMAN: Do you know if it was
19		used for anything else?
20		THE WITNESS: To my knowledge, no.
21	Q	It was not used for any other purpose?
22	A	To my knowledge, no.
23		MR. CHEESEMAN: I think we already
24		apprised you there were a couple of other things
25		hooked into that system, like the drinking water

1 2 fountain drain. 3 MR. SCHLICHTMANN: Into the storm water 4 drain? 5 MR. CHEESEMAN: And some air 6 conditioning cooling water also might have been in 7 it. I think there was one other thing of a similar 8 nature involving pure water. 9 To your knowledge, were industrial solvents of any 10 kind allowed to drain into that storm water 11 drainage system at the Woburn plant? 12 MR. CHEESEMAN: That he observed? 13 To your knowledge, did it ever come to your 14 attention from whatever source or did you 15 personally observe it? 16 A No. 17 MR. CHEESEMAN: You are asking prior to 18 the commencement of the lawsuit. 19 Q In May of 1982, before May of 1982? 20 No. 21 Have you had any conversation with Mr. Forte since 22 your last deposition up until today? 23 Α Yes. 24 Have you had any conversation with him which had

to do with matters involving this lawsuit?

1		2-1
2		MR. CHEESEMAN: During that time period?
3		MR. SCHLICHTMANN: During that time
4		period.
5		MR. CHEESEMAN: If you recall.
6	A	Yes.
7	Q	Would you tell me when those took place and what it
8		concerned?
9		MR. CHEESEMAN: If you did have any such
10		conversation which were not
11		MR. SCHLICHTMANN: Within the attorney-
12		client privilege.
13		MR. CHEESEMAN: which were in the
14		presence of counsel and for the purpose of
15		MR. SCHLICHTMANN: Preparing for this
16		case.
17		MR. CHEESEMAN: assisting in the
18		preparation of the case.
19		MR. SCHLICHTMANN: Exactly.
20	A	No.
21	Ω	During your two-day investigation you did talk to
22		Mr. Barbas; is that right?
23	A	That is correct.
24	Q	Now, Mr. Barbas has testified concerning his
25		conversations with you during that investigation.

Are you aware he so testified?

- A I am aware.
- Q Did you have an opportunity to review his testimony which he gave at his first deposition in which he talked in detail about what questions you asked him and what information he gave you?

MR. CHEESEMAN: I don't know if we have gotten that down to you yet; I think not.

- A No.
- Q Have you ever seen a list of changes to

  Mr. Barbas's original deposition, original two
  depositions?
- A No.
- Q During your conversation with Mr. Barbas did you ask Mr. Barbas specific questions about his knowledge about dumping of waste material which may have taken place during 1968 to 1970 at the Woburn plant?

MR. CHEESEMAN: I would ask that question be read back.

THE REPORTER: Question: During your conversation with Mr. Barbas did you ask Mr. Barbas specific questions about his knowledge about dumping of waste material which may have taken

place during 1968 to 1970 at the Woburn plant?

MR. CHEESEMAN: Are you asking the witness if he asked Mr. Barbas any questions that were expressly limited to that time period?

MR. SCHLICHTMANN: Yes.

A No.

- Q Did you ask Mr. Barbas any questions concerning his knowledge of dumping which took place at the plant during a specific two-year time period?
- A No.
- Q Did you ask Mr. Barbas any questions about his knowledge about dumping which may have taken place during a certain time period?

MR. CHEESEMAN: Again, the problem that I have with some of these questions is that a question about dumping at any time implicitly includes a question about any time within that period. You have to be careful as you phrase the question. If what you're asking is did he ask Mr. Barbas that question limited to a certain time period, then I don't have any --

MR. SCHLICHTMANN: All right.

Q Did you ask Mr. Barbas any questions about his knowledge, which was about any dumping which may

have taken place of waste material, during a specifically limited period of time at the Woburn plant?

- A I asked Mr. Barbas about the pit, the Manzelli pit if you will.
- Q Yes.
- A And if he had any knowledge of the use of the pit.

  His answer, to the best of my recollection, is that
  he knew of the pit and he didn't know of any
  dumping.
- Q During your questioning of Mr. Barbas, did you ask him about whether he was present during the construction, during any construction phase of the Woburn plant?
- A I did not ask him that specific question.
- Well, do you remember during your conversation with Mr. Barbas you asked him if he was present at the Woburn plant and observed construction during a certain period of time and he said no, that he had been in Viet Nam during that two-year period?
- A I don't recall anything like that.
  - Q Do you ever remember discussing with Mr. Barbas he was not at the plant for a period of time

2		
2		because he was doing service in Viet Nam?
3	A	My best recollection, in casual conversation, was
4		that Mr. Barbas did mention he had been absent
5		from the plant at some period while he was in the
6		service.
7	Q	You remember talking to him about that?
8	A	It was mentioned in casual conversation.
9	Ω	Did you question Mr. Barbas concerning anything
10		that he may have observed at the Woburn plant
11		during a certain period of time, and being
12		informed by Mr. Barbas he couldn't have observed
13		anything then because he was doing service in Viet
14		Nam?
15	A	No.
16	Q	Nothing like that?
17	A	No.
18	Q	Did you ask Mr. Barbas any questions about
19		whether he observed any dumping or had knew about
20		any dumping of material which had taken place
21		during the construction of the first or second
22		addition or for the construction of the warehouse?
23	A	I asked Mr. Barbas specifically about the Manzelli
24		pit and his reply was he had knowledge of it. He

did not have any knowledge of anything going in

that pit.

My question is different, Mr. Stewart.

In any of your questioning of

Mr. Barbas did you ever ask him or probe his

knowledge about anything he may have known or

observed about possible dumping of waste material

during the construction of either the first or

second addition or the warehouse?

MR. CHEESEMAN: Are you asking if the witness asked such a question of Mr. Barbas that was expressly directed to the construction activities you just described?

MR. SCHLICHTMANN: Yes.

MR. CHEESEMAN: As opposed to a general question about any knowledge he had about any disposal?

MR. SCHLICHTMANN: Exactly.

- A The answer, I think, is no.
- Q Why do you think it is no?
- A I am trying to remember the question.

MR. DeGIACOMO: You did already ask him about the Manzelli Construction job.

MR. SCHLICHTMANN: All right.

MR. CHEESEMAN: The witness did refer to

2 the Manzelli pit. I am sure the witness will tell 3 you he is aware Manzelli was the construction 4 contractor for the second addition. 5 MR. SCHLICHTMANN: Let me try to keep 6 this time schedule. 7 Did you ever ask Mr. Barbas or probe Mr. Barbas's Q 8 knowledge of any possible dumping which took place 9 during the construction of the first addition? 10 I did not ask that question. 11 Or any questions related thereto? 12 No . 13 MR. CHEESEMAN: In your last question 14 referring to any questions related thereto, do you 15 mean any questions which were expressly related to 16 the first construction? 17 MR. SCHLICHTMANN: Exactly. 18 MR. CHEESEMAN: Fine. 19 MR. SCHLICHTMANN: Well? 20 MR. CHEESEMAN: He answered the 21 question. 22 You said no? 23 MR. CHEESEMAN: Is that the way you 24 understood the question? 25 THE WITNESS: Yes.

2	Q	Did you ask Mr. Barbas or probe Mr. Barbas's
3		knowledge about any dumping activity which may
4		have taken place during the construction of the
5		warehouse?
6		MR. CHEESEMAN: Specifically addressed
7		to that time period?
8		MR. SCHLICHTMANN: Specifically
9		addressed to that time period in the construction
10		of the warehouse.
11	A	No.
12	Q	Did you ever ask Mr. Barbas any questions
13	<u>.</u>	concerning his knowledge or probe his knowledge
14		about whether he knew anything or had heard any-
15		thing about the possible dumping of material in
16		the area in which the construction of the second
17		addition took place?
18		MR. CHEESEMAN: You mean under the
19		foundation and floor?
20		MR. SCHLICHTMANN: And the immediate
21		area surrounding the construction of the second
22		addition.
23	A	I didn't ask that question.
24	Q	Now, during your two-day investigation or at any
25		time after your two-day investigation,

Mr. Stewart, prior to the instigation of the lawsuit in May of 1982, did it ever come to your attention, had you ever received any information at all or any indication from whatever source that dumping activities or the disposal of waste material could have taken place during the construction of the first addition?

- A No.
- I am going to ask the same question be applied to the next question. I am now asking about in reference to construction of the warehouse.
- A The answer is no.
- And now I am asking the same question in reference to the construction of the second addition other than the pit incident that you described as the Manzelli pit.
- A The answer is no.
- Now, I will ask the same question apply to any activities which took place at the Woburn plant during any time period of the Woburn plant, except for the pit which you have already described as the Manzelli pit.

MR. CHEESEMAN: Do you have the question in mind?

MR. SCHLICHTMANN: Let's have it read back.

THE REPORTER: Question: Now, during your two-day investigation or at any time after your two-day investigation, Mr. Stewart, prior to the instigation of the lawsuit in May of 1982, did it ever come to your attention, had you ever received any information at all or any indication from whatever source that dumping activities or the disposal of waste material could have taken place during the construction of the first addition?

Q I will have the question apply for the disposal of waste material on any area of the site other than the pit that you described as the Manzelli pit.

MR. CHEESEMAN: May I have a moment?

MR. SCHLICHTMANN: Sure.

## (Pause)

- A The answer to the question is no.
- Q And is the answer the same for the period prior to your two-day investigation?

## MR. CHEESEMAN: Did it --

Q In other words, did it ever come to your attention or do you have knowledge of dumping activities

2

3

other than the area you described as the Manzelli pit? 4 MR. CHEESEMAN: You're asking about --5 MR. SCHLICHTMANN: Did it ever come to 6 his attention. 7 MR. CHEESEMAN: Did it ever come to his 8 attention prior to the January of 1982 trip to 9 Woburn? 10 MR. SCHLICHTMANN: Exactly. 11 MR. CHEESEMAN: Whether there had been 12 any disposal on the ground of the site? 13 MR. SCHLICHTMANN: Exactly, other than 14 the Manzelli pit. 15 MR. CHEESEMAN: Which occurred afterward 16 and is not covered by the question anyway. 17 MR. SCHLICHTMANN: I just want to make 18 sure he understands that. 19 No. 20 You received no such information? 21 That is correct. 22 MR. CHEESEMAN: During that time frame. 23 Now, at any time did Mr. Barbas, prior to the 0 instigation of this lawsuit, had Mr. Barbas ever 24 25 indicated to you that he had participated or that

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

others had participated in the dumping of paint sludge or paint material on the ground to the rear of the plant? A No. At no time? 0 MR. CHEESEMAN: During the time period you discussed? At no time prior to the instigation of this suit? Q A Correct. Had it ever come to your attention at any time Q prior to the instigation of this lawsuit in May of 1982, Mr. Stewart, any time in the past at the Woburn plant that any individual or individuals had disposed of paint sludge or paint material by disposing of it on the ground to the rear of the plant? A No. Q Did it ever come to your attention at any time prior to May of 1982 that the maintenance department at the Woburn plant had disposed of waste liquid or waste solvents on a regular basis by

pouring the waste liquid or the waste solvents on

the ground, in trenches, in pits or down storm

25 drains?

2	A	No.
3	Ω	Did it ever come to your attention prior to
4		MR. CHEESEMAN: That was other than
5		the Manzelli pit?
6		MR. SCHLICHTMANN: Right.
7	Q	At any time prior to May of 1982, did it ever come
8		to your attention from whatever source that Tom
9		Barbas, Joe Meola and others at the Woburn plant
10		had disposed of waste material, waste liquid and/
11	:	or waste solvents by disposing of these materials,
12		these liquids or solvents, by pouring them into
13		pits, in trenches, on the ground or down storm
14		drains on a regular basis?
15		MR. CHEESEMAN: Other than the Manzelli
16		pit?
17	Q	Other than the Manzelli pit?
18	A	The answer to all of those, except on the ground,
19		is no.
20	Q	Why have you made an exception to that?
21	A	During my investigation, my two-day trip, it was
22		indicated that possibly on occasion individuals
23		may have put small amounts of materials on the
24		blacktop outside the back door of the plant.
25		Any other information?

1		
2	A	No.
3	Ω	You say on the blacktop?
4	A	Yes.
5	Q	Not on the ground?
6	A	Specifically on the blacktop.
7	Q	And from
8	A	Paved area.
9	Q	The paved area?
10	A	Yes.
11	Q	The asphalt?
12	A	Yes.
13	D	From what source did you get that information?
14	A	I do not recall. It was in casual conversation
15		during this investigation.
16	Q	Was it casual conversation with Paul Shalline?
17	A	I do not recall.
18	Q	Do you know whether it was casual conversation
19		with Mr. Forte?
20	A	No.
21	Ω	You know that was not the case?
22	A	I don't know that.
23	Q	Could it have been a conversation with
24		Mr. Shalline, do you think?
25		MR CHEESEMIN: He said he does not

1		2-
2		remember.
3	Q	But you do know specifically Mr. Forte did not
4		inform you?
5	A	Yes.
6	Q	You have a specific recollection of that?
7	A	Yes.
8	Q	And you don't know as to Mr. Shalline?
9	A	Correct.
10	Q	Do you think it was casual conversation with
11		Mr. Barbas?
12	A	I don't know.
13	Q	Or was it a conversation with Mr. Orazine?
14	A	It was not.
15	Q	Was it a conversation with Mr. Frank Kelly?
16	A	During my two-day visit I talked to Mr. Shalline,
17		Mr. Barbas and Mr. Kelly specifically, and it came
18		up in one of those conversations as a casual
19		comment.
20	Q	What material did they say would have been
21		disposed of on the paved area?
22	A	Washing water, solvent.
23	Q	Did they say what material contained the solvent
24		or in what form? Was it liquid form?

Yes.

Yes.

Correct.

'		2-1
2	Q	Did they indicate why it was done?
3	A	To the best of my recollection, an employee would
4		have a small pan with small metal parts, and he
5		was cleaning with a water solution or solvent and
6		he would happen to be standing next to the back
7		door where he might dump that small pan containing
8		a cup or two cups or something of solvent out the
9		back door on the blacktop.
10	Q	In this conversation, it was said specifically the
11		blacktop and not the ground?
12	A	Yes.
13	Q	They didn't mention it was a trench along the
14		warehouse?
15	A	No.
16	Q	Never mentioned that or a ditch along the ware-
17		house?
18	A	What is your question?
19	Q	They never mentioned it was in a ditch or a trench
20		along the warehouse?
21	A	They never mentioned it.
22	Ω	That the dumping
23	A	Anything was poured in the trench or ditch?

l		2-
2	Q	They did indicate it was on the asphalt outside
3		the door to the rear of the building?
4	A	Correct.
5	Q	Did they indicate the period of time that this
6		took place?
7	A	No.
8	Q	They just said from time to time?
9	A	Yes.
10	Ω	Did they indicate it was a regular practice?
1	A	No.
12	Q	Or an infrequent practice?
13	A	Yes.
4	Q	Did they indicate what employees would do that?
15	A	Specifically, no.
16	Q	But they did indicate it was solvent or liquid
17		containing solvent that would be dumped that way?
18	A	Or wash water, something of that nature.
19	Q	How about cooling oils?
20	A	No.
21	Q	But they were specific about solvents?
2	A	Yes.
3	Ω	Did you make any further inquiries about the
4		period of time that this took place?
5	A	No.

		2-1:
2	Q	Or the amounts involved?
3	A	No.
4	Q	You're not quite sure who you discussed this with?
5	A	That is correct.
6	Q	Do you remember taking notes of this?
7	A	No.
8	Ω	You obviously heard it, but you don't remember
9		taking notes?
10	A	Correct.
11	Q	Where do you think these conversations took place?
12		Was this at the Woburn plant itself when you were
13		conducting your investigation?
14	A	That is correct.
15	Q	Was it during questioning of various people who
16		may have been involved in dumping activities at
17		the plant or who had knowledge about it?
18		MR. CHEESEMAN: I object to the form of
19		the question.
20	A	I believe it was mentioned in casual conversation.
21	Ω	You believe it was casual conversation with
22		employees who you were questioning about their
23		knowledge about possible dumping activities at the
24		plant or waste disposal activities at the plant?
25	<b>A</b>	That is correct.

Ų		•
2	Q	Do you remember if it was more than one employee
3		you talked to about this?
4	A	I have no recollection.
5	Q	Could it have been more than one?
6	A	My primary contact at that time was Mr. Shalline,
7		Mr. Barbas and Mr. Kelly. I assume it was one of
8		those three.
9	Q	Mr. Stewart, at your last deposition I showed you
10		an aerial photograph which we told you was an
11		aerial photograph of the plant as it existed in
12		April of 1975, and on that aerial photograph you
13		made markings with a red pen?
14	A	Yes.
15		MR. CHEESEMAN: It has the date of
16		April of 1975?
17		MR. SCHLICHTMANN: Right.
18	Q	In examining that aerial photograph, would you be
19		able to indicate on that photograph where it was
20		indicated to you the dumping of this waste
21	    }	solvent took place on the asphalt?
22	:-	MR. CHEESEMAN: Do you want him to make
23		a mark?
24		MR. SCHLICHTMANN: If he could.
25		MR. CHEESEMAN: I object generally to

having a layperson interpret aerial photographs
because of the difficulty involved in doing that.

I will continue to make that objection. I understand I am able to reserve --

MR. SCHLICHTMANN: Absolutely.

MR. CHEESEMAN: -- my objection to the time of trial?

MR. SCHLICHTMANN: Wouldn't have it any other way.

A Right outside this door indicated by the circle in black (Indication).

MR. CHEESEMAN: The large overhead door on the rear wall of the second addition closest to the north side of the property.

- So during these conversations it was indicated to you the area you have marked on the aerial photograph with the black circle is the area these persons or person indicated in their conversations to you was where waste solvent was from time to time disposed along the asphalt?
- A That is correct.
- Q Did they indicate that there was any other area other than the area you indicated on the aerial photograph?

ĺ		2-1
2	A	No.
3	Q	Specifically, did they ever indicate during these
4		conversations or did you have any indication at
5		all any of this dumping of waste solvents took
6		place in the area that I am indicating between
7		the warehouse and the main building, in other
8		words, where peastone is now and the asphalt area
9		between the warehouse and the second addition?
10	A	No.
11	Q	Did they indicate the extent of the area or did
12		they indicate roughly the area you indicated?
13	A	The indication was on the blacktop right outside
14		the door.
15	Q	Did they indicate that paint sludge was disposed of
16		in that area, waste solvent or waste liquid only?
17	A	You're asking a double-barreled question.
18	Q	Did they indicate any of these materials were from
19		the paint contained paint or paint sludges?
20	A	No.
21	Ω	In the conversation did they talk about where
22		liquids in which metal parts were degreased or
23		cleaned?
24	A	Correct.

And this would have been in a pan?

Ė		2-1
2	A	Correct.
3	Q	Now, the kind of pan they described, was this the
4		metal pans?
5		MR. CHEESEMAN: Did they indicate?
6	A	They did not indicate whether it was metal or wood
7		or plastic.
8	Q	Are you familiar the plants do make use of metal
9		pans to hold liquid and to degrease metal parts in
10		them?
11	A	Yes.
12	Q	Are you familiar with the fact these metal pans
13		are approximately a couple of feet long and maybe
14		a foot wide?
15	A	Some of them.
16	Q	Did they indicate during any of these conversations
17		that was the kind of pan that was used to dispose
18		of this waste solvent?
19	A	My recollection was that it was a small container
20		containing less than a pint of liquid that could
21		easily be carried in one hand.
22	Q	Did they ever indicate it was more than that?
23	A	No.
24	Q	Now, did you ever transmit this information in your
25		final report concerning the results of your

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

21

23

24

25

## investigation? MR. CHEESEMAN: I think he previously described that he prepared a memorandum. MR. SCHLICHTMANN: Right. MR. CHEESEMAN: Or a written document relating to the investigation, which was prepared for counsel. I don't think you're entitled to inquire into the contents of that. 10 Q To your knowledge, was this information concerning the disposal of this waste solvent ever 12 communicated to the EPA? 13 If I may read the report to the EPA, I can answer 14 the question. 15 MR. CHEESEMAN: Of course, there have 16 been a great many reports made to the EPA by Grace. 17 He was not confining his question to Shalline 18 Exhibit 18. 19 (Pause) 20 MR. CHEESEMAN: Your question was ever? MR. SCHLICHTMANN: Yes; to his 22 knowledge. MR. CHEESEMAN: So you're not confining

your question to the Shalline exhibit I just mentioned?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

15

17

21

23

24

25

MR. SCHLICHTMANN: Correct. MR. DeGIACOMO: I believe he is asking whether you had personally furnished such information. MR. SCHLICHTMANN: I am not being that specific. Do you have any knowledge whether that information Q was communicated to the EPA? I have no personal knowledge. Α Did you ever communicate that information to the 0 12 EPA? 13 I did not. 14 MR. SCHLICHTMANN: I understand you're making an objection about his report because it was within the attorney-client privilege 16 relationship? 18 MR. CHEESEMAN: Yes. 19 Q To your knowledge, is the February 5, 1982 letter, 20 is there any area in that document - and I know the document speaks for itself - is there any area in the document, Shalline Exhibit 18, is there 22 any reference in that letter which you feel

refers to that incident at all?

MR. CHEESEMAN: Objection. The document

1 2-134 2 does speak for itself. 3 MR. SCHLICHTMANN: Right. 4 (Pause) 5 Does it? 6 I'm sorry, I thought he answered for me. 7 MR. CHEESEMAN: No. 8 THE WITNESS: The answer is no. 9 Mr. Stewart, was it your intention that this Q 10 information, for whatever reason, not be 11 communicated to the EPA because you had made a 12 decision it was either not important or some other 13 ground? 14 MR. CHEESEMAN: Objection. 15 Α No. 16 Did you make a determination it was important 17 information that you had received? 18 MR. CHEESEMAN: Objection. 19 Ask that question again. Α 20 Let me try to ask it better. 21 When you were informed about this 22 activity about the disposal of waste solvents on 23 the asphalt, at that time did you consider this to 24 be important information?

MR. CHEESEMAN: Objection.

		2
2	A	No.
3		MR. SCHLICHTMANN: I understand the
4		objection. You're objecting to the use of the
5		word "important."
6	Q	Did you consider this information about the
7		disposal of waste solvents on the asphalt to be
8		information which was, which should be collected
9		as part of your investigation in response to the
10		EPA letter of January of 1982?
11	A	No.
12	Q	What was the reason you made for that decision,
13		for that determination?
14	A	The information was that such activities were
15		incidental, infrequent, and would have no impact
16		upon the information was that it was
17		incidental, infrequent and, in my opinion, would
18		have no impact upon the Woburn plant site.
19	Q	Did you communicate this information to any other
20		individual or entity?
21	A	To our lawyers.
<b>2</b> 2	Q	No other individual or entity?
23	A	No.
24		MR. SCHLICHTMANN: I won't go into

anything between attorney and client.

'		2-130
2	Q	At the last deposition, Mr. Stewart, we talked about
3		an individual who participated in the events
4		regarding the Manzelli pit and you couldn't
5		remember his name. I believe you referred to him
6		as Mr. X?
7		MR. CHEESEMAN: We have learned it is
8		really Mr. Y.
9	Ω	In the meantime, have you been able to determine
0		the identity or remember the name that was given
1	<b>,</b>	to you of who that person might have been?
12	A	I have no knowledge of who the unidentified
13		individual was.
14	Q	You said it was an Italian sounding name?
15	A	That is correct.
16	Q	There is a Mr. Orazine who was present at the
17		plant during that period. Do you
18		MR. CHEESEMAN: Among others with
19		foreign sounding names.
20	Q	Do you have any reason to believe it was
21		Mr. Orazine?
22	A	You asked me that question before. My answer then
23		and now is no.

A

No.

Mr. Calamari?

25

'∥		2-137
2	Q	Did your investigation show that Mr. Shalline had
3		had any knowledge or had taken part in, had given
4		any authorization for the activities surrounding
5		the Manzelli pit?
6		MR. CHEESEMAN: Objection to the form.
7	A	Ask it again.
8	Q	Did your investigation during January of 1982 or
9		subsequent thereto ever indicate that Mr. Shalline
0		had authorized any activities megarding the
1		Manzelli pit?
12		MR. CHEESEMAN: Objection.
13	A	No.
14	Q	Did your investigation during that two-day
15		investigation of January of 1982 and
16		subsequently, did your investigation ever indicate
17		that Mr. Shalline had had any knowledge of the
18		activities regarding the Manzelli pit?
19		MR. CHEESEMAN: I'm unclear about the
20		time frame now.
21		MR. SCHLICHTMANN: Prior to the commence-
22		ment of the lawsuit.
23		MR. CHEESEMAN: Between his trip to

Woburn in early 1982 and the beginning of this

lawsuit did any information come to his attention

		2-13
2		to that effect?
3		MR. SCHLICHTMANN: Yes.
4	A	No.
5	Q	Never came to your attention?
6		MR. CHEESEMAN: Never during that period
7		of time?
8		MR. SCHLICHTMANN: Right.
9	Q	At any time did Mr. Shalline ever indicate to you
10		during your investigation of January of 1982 up
11		until the filing of the lawsuit that he had had
12		any knowledge or had given any authorization to,
13		or participated in any way in the events
14		surrounding the Manzelli pit?
15	A	He did not indicate that to me.
16	Q	At any time during that period?
17	A	That is right.
18	Q	Did he indicate to you at any time after the
19		filing of this lawsuit, but not related to any
20		conversations or activities having to do with
21		your attorney or the attorney for W. R. Grace
22		MR. CHEESEMAN: Or in connection with
23		the preparation of the case.
24	Q	or in connection with the preparation of this

lawsuit --

li li		
2	A	Any conversation that I had with Mr. Shalline
3		subsequent to that were in connection with the
4		preparation of this lawsuit.
5	Q	Now, Mr. Stewart, at the previous deposition you
6		talked about being present during the cleaning of
7		storm drains at the Woburn plant?
8	A	During the physical cleaning of the storm drains?
9	Q	Yes.
10	A	I don't believe I was there when the machinery was
11		there cleaning the storm drains.
12	Q	You're aware the storm drains were cleaned?
13	A	Yes.
14	Q	Do you have any idea why the storm drains were
15		cleaned?
16	A	Storm drains were previously referred to as the
17		north trench and south trench, and they were
18		cleaned because they had growth and underbrush.
19	Q	You're not talking about cleaning the pipe?
20	A	No.
21	Q	You're talking about cleaning the trenches of the
22		south and north?
23	A	Correct.
24	Q	Exposed trenches?
25	A	Yes.

PENGAD CO., BAYONNE, N.J. 07002

Now, do you have any knowledge or did it ever come to your attention at any time that the floor drains inside the plant were used at any time for the disposal of waste liquid - I'm sorry - waste solvents other than the floor drains you previously testified had been used in the machine shop?

- A (Pause).
- Q Do you understand the question?
- A No.
- Did it ever come to your attention or did you ever have any knowledge that floor drains were ever used for disposal of waste solvents inside the plant at any time, other than the use of the floor drains near the machine shop which you already testified to at your previous deposition?
- A I don't recall testifying to that.
- Q You don't recall testifying about the Cool Tool going down?
- A Let's go back and start all over again.
- All right. When you say "into the sewer system,"
  is it unfair to say it is going down the floor
  drain?

- 11		-
2	A	The plant had a sanitary sewer system and a storm
3		water drain system. Can you be specific?
4	Q	I am not talking about anything connected to the
5		storm water drainage. I am talking about the
6		floor drains that go out to the sanitary sewer,
7		to the MDC sewer.
8	A	And the only knowledge I have of substances going
9		down into the sanitary sewer system is the cooling
10		fluids.
11	Q	You were aware cooling fluids had a solvent in it;
12		is that right?
13		MR. CHEESEMAN: If you had an under-
14		standing.
15	A	Yes.
16	Q	And during your investigation it came to your
17	1	attention that this waste solvent was poured down
18		a floor drain in the machine shop area?
19		MR. CHEESEMAN: You're talking about the
20		cooling fluids?
21		MR. SCHLICHTMANN: Right.
22	A	Cooling fluid is material that is a small percent
23		of solvent diluted to 50 times that of water, 50

times to water. That spent fluid was dumped in

the sanitary sewer system up until 1980.

1	<u> </u>	2-1
2	Ω	Up until 1980?
3	A	Yes.
4	Q	To your knowledge, it was done throughout the
5		existence of the plant?
6	A	I have no specific knowledge.
7	Q	During a period of time prior to 1980,
8		Mr. Stewart, you don't know how long a period it
9		was?
10	A	Correct.
11	Q	But you were aware this was poured down a floor
12		drain; is that correct?
13	A	I was aware it was disposed of prior to 1980 in
14		the sanitary sewer system.
15	Ω	How did that gain access to the sewer?
16	A	I don't know.
17	Q	No indication was ever given to you a floor drain
18		or some other kind of drain?
19	A	No.
20	Q	You never determined that?
21	A	No.
22	Q	Did you ever communicate that information about
23		the disposal of that waste liquid which contained
24		solvent to the EPA?
25		MR. CHEESEMAN: Mr. Stewart personally?

1		2-143
2		MR. SCHLICHTMANN: Yes.
3	Q	Do you want to examine the letter?
4		MR. CHEESEMAN: He didn't send the
5		letter.
6	A	I never communicated to the EPA.
7	Q	But you didn't make any reference There was no
8		reference in the letter from Mr. Forte in March of
9		1982; is that right?
10		MR. CHEESEMAN: Objection to the form.
11		The document speaks for itself.
12	<u> </u>   	MR. SCHLICHTMANN: I will grant you
13		that.
14		MR. CHEESEMAN: Since the witness did
15	<u> </u>	not draft the document or write it, it seems to me
16		the question
17		MR. SCHLICHTMANN: He said his report
18		was very similar to that.
19		MR. CHEESEMAN: You're quite right, not
20		that it has any bearing on my objection.
21		MR. SCHLICHTMANN: True.
22	A	Your question did I
23		MR. CHEESEMAN: The current question is:
24		Do you see any reference to that in the letter.
25	1	THE WITHWICE. No

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

,		
•		2
2	Q	When you learned that information during the
3		investigation did you consider that to be
4		important information to be collected during your
5		investigation so that an answer could be given to
6		the EPA?
7		MR. CHEESEMAN: I object to the use of
8		the word "important."
9		MR. SCHLICHTMANN: What he considered
10		important. Don't you think it is important to
11		know what he considered important?
12	Q	Did you consider that information to be

- information which you should collect and make as part of your final report?
- The question was not asked by the EPA. A
- Q You didn't consider that the disposal of waste solvents to the sewer was information which the EPA was seeking in their letter; you made that determination?
- A That is correct.
- Now, to your knowledge, at any time had the Woburn Q plant ever been reprimanded by Cryovac Division or W. R. Grace Corporation for any of the activities surrounding the disposal of waste material at the plant at any time?

	l	
2		MR. CHEESEMAN: Objection.
3		MR. SCHLICHTMANN: Do you want me to
4		define "reprimanded?"
5		MR. CHEESEMAN: I think the time period
6		should be clear.
7		MR. SCHLICHTMANN: Prior to May of 1982.
8		MR. CHEESEMAN: Go ahead.
9	Q	Do you know if the Woburn plant was ever repri-
10		manded prior to May of 1982, which is the
11		commencement of the lawsuit, for activities
12		regarding the disposal of waste materials at the
13		Woburn plant?
14		MR. CHEESEMAN: by headquarters?
15		MR. SCHLICHTMANN: By Cryovac Division
16		or W. R. Grace Corporation.
17	A	To my knowledge?
18	Q	Yes.
19	A	No.
20	Ω	Was the Woburn plant in May of 1982, to your
21		knowledge, ever reprimanded by Cryovac Division
22		or W. R. Grace Corporation for any activities
23		regarding the disposal of waste materials at the
24		plant?
25	A	I have never neither seen nor heard of any

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

reprimand in the Woburn plant on that subject. Did you ever recommend reprimand at any time for Q any activities concerning the disposal of waste materials at any time in the past? I did not. A To your knowledge, was any employee of the Woburn plant ever reprimanded by W. R. Grace Corporation or the Cryovac Division for activities related to the disposal of waste materials at the Woburn plant prior to May of 1982? I have no idea. Did you ever recommend the reprimanding of any Q employee engaged in waste disposal activities at the Woburn plant at any time prior to May of 1982? I did not. And after the filing of this lawsuit in May of 1982, to your knowledge, Mr. Stewart, did Cryovac Division or W. R. Grace Corporation ever reprimand any individual employee or employees for any waste disposal activities at the Woburn plant?

A I have no personal knowledge.

Q After May of 1982, after the filing of the lawsuit,

2 did you ever recommend any employee or employees 3 at the Woburn plant who had engaged in waste 4 disposal activities at any time in the past should 5 have been reprimanded? 6 MR. CHEESEMAN: I think that question 7 was asked and answered previously. 8 MR. SCHLICHTMANN: I think this is more 9 specific. 10 MR. CHEESEMAN: I don't think it is. 11 It sounds identical. 12 MR. SCHLICHTMANN: Can I have it? 13 almost done. 14 MR. CHEESEMAN: Go ahead. 15 A I made no recommendation for reprimand. 16 Mr. Stewart, I show you Shalline Exhibit 17, which 17 is a copy of the EPA letter, and I direct your 18 attention to Question 4 and ask that you read 19 that. 20 (Witness complies). 21 0 Have you had an opportunity to read Question 4? 22 A Yes. 23 In the EPA letter you were requested to provide 24 the EPA with information concerning the waste

disposal practices concerning the chemicals

'		2-1
2		listed in that letter?
3	A	I understand that.
4	Q	Did you consider that the pouring of waste liquid
5		containing Cool Tool with trichloroethane,
6		1,1,1-trichloroethane, was information requested by
7		or sought by Question 4?
8		MR. CHEESEMAN: You're asking him
9		MR. SCHLICHTMANN: About his under-
10		standing.
11		MR. CHEESEMAN: Ask him, first of all,
12		if he knew Cool Tool had 1,1,1-trichloroethane.
13		MR. SCHLICHTMANN: He testified to that
14		at the last deposition.
15	A	What was the question?
16	Q	Did you consider that Question 4 of the EPA letter
17		of January 15, 1982 was seeking information about
18		all waste disposal practices concerning
19		chemicals which they listed in their letter and
20		that the disposal of the Cool Tool, which contained
21		1,1,1-trichloroethane, down the sewer was one of
22		the waste disposal practices that the EPA was
23		seeking to know about in that question?
24	A	I did not understand that to be the case.
25	Q	What was your understanding about the type of

methods, disposal methods, the EPA was seeking in their letter?

MR. CHEESEMAN: You're asking about the whole letter now?

MR. SCHLICHTMANN: Yes.

- Q Let me ask you this: You didn't consider that any waste disposal method which involved the MDC sewer or sewer system was being sought by the EPA in their letter of January 15, 1982; is that correct?
- A That is correct.
- Now, during your investigation or at any time prior to the instigation of the lawsuit, did you at any time determine that Mr. Vin Forte had had any knowledge, had authorized or had participated in the events surrounding the Manzelli pit?
- A No.
- At any time during your investigation did you ever inquire of Mr. Forte as to whether he had any knowledge, had participated in or had authorized the activities surrounding the Manzelli pit?
- 23 A No.

- Q YOu never did?
  - MR. CHEESEMAN: During that time

ļļ.

## period?

MR. SCHLICHTMANN: Yes.

A No.

Q After May of 1982, did you, as part of the responsibilities of your office, ever determine or did it ever come to your attention that Mr. Forte had participated in, had knowledge of or had authorized any of the activities surrounding the Manzelli pit?

MR. CHEESEMAN: You're asking after May of 1982?

MR. SCHLICHTMANN: Exclusive of any information which he may have obtained within that attorney-client relationship.

MR. CHEESEMAN: It is also a work product rule here. It is clear to me
Mr. Stewart's involvement since this lawsuit was all involvement with counsel and in the preparation of the defense to the action.

Q Let me ask you this: After the instigation of the lawsuit in May of 1982, you had -- is it fair to say you had no other responsibilities concerning the investigation or the determination of what took place at the Woburn plant at any

		2-13
2		time in the past regarding waste disposal, other
3		than your participation with counsel for W. R.
4		Grace in the preparation of the defense of this
5		lawsuit?
6		MR. CHEESEMAN: And other proceedings.
7	Q	And related proceedings?
8	A	Yes.
9		MR. SCHLICHTMANN: Like EPA?
10		MR. CHEESEMAN: Right.
11	Q	You did mention you had a conversation with
12		Mr. Forte subsequent to your last deposition.
13		Could you tell us what that was about and when it
14		took place, what was said?
15		MR. CHEESEMAN: He said he had no
16		conversations relating to waste disposal in
17		connection with this lawsuit.
18		MR. SCHLICHTMANN: I would like to hear
19		what the conversation was.
20		MR. CHEESEMAN: Did he have any
21		conversation other than matters relating to the
22		lawsuit, like baseball games?
23	A	Yes.
24	Q	Would you relate to us when it took place, what was
25		said, where it took place?

1		2-
2	A	I cannot relate specific dates and times.
3	ļ	Mr. Forte and I are in the same building and lunch
4		in the same cafeteria. We speak frequently and
5		talk about all kinds of things, business matters
6		and social matters, baseball games and so forth.
7		To my knowledge, they were all casual conversa-
8		tions in those areas.
9	Q	And you have had numerous contacts with Mr. Forte?
10	A	Yes.
11	Q	The business relationship causes you to come in
12		contact with Mr. Forte all the time, and there is
13		lots of things to discuss that have nothing to do
14		with the lawsuit?
15	A	Yes.
16	Q	Things like baseball games, social events and
17		stuff like that?
18	A	Yes.
19		MR. SCHLICHTMANN: I think we're all
20		done. I'm all
21		MR. CHEESEMAN: Late as usual.
22		(Whereupon the deposition was adjourned at 1:50.)
23		aajaariaa aa riddi,
24		Complete Com

## JURAT

I, RICHARD STEWART, have read the foregoing transcript of testimony and the same contains a true and accurate recording of my answers given to the questions therein set forth.

penalti	les	of	pe	rjury	,	this	
the state of the s	d	ау с	of	energy is no distribute disabili			. '
1985.							

Signed under the pains and

RICHARD STEWART

## 

### CERTIFICATE

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS )
) ss.
COUNTY OF NORFOLK )

I, Valerie T. Wong, Notary Public within and for the Commonwealth of Massachusetts, do hereby certify that:

RICHARD STEWART, the witness whose deposition is hereinbefore set forth, was duly sworn by me and that such deposition is a true record of the testimony given.

I further certify that I am not related to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage, and that I am in no way interested in the outcome of this matter.

NOTARY PUBLIC

My Commission Expires: November 5, 1987.